A Unified Model for inelastic e-N and neutrino-N cross sections at all Q²

<u>Arie Bodek, Inkyu Park-</u> U. Rochester Un-ki Yang- U. Chicago

Section III.4: PDFs from Small to Large x II

Parallel Session 2, Monday, October 24, 4:30 - 6:00PM, Room: Sweeney - Meeting 3 Chair: Abhay Deshpande

Time Speaker Title Links

5:45 Arie Bodek A Unified Model for inelastic e-N and nu-N cross sections

PANICO5 Santa-Fe, October, 2005

Modeling on neutrino cross sections

- Describe DIS, resonance, even photo- production (Q²=0) in terms of quark-parton model. With PDFS, it is straightforward to convert charged-lepton scattering cross sections into neutrino cross section.
- > Challenge:
- Understanding of high x PDFs at very low Q²?
- Understanding of resonance scattering in terms of quark-parton model?







Comparison with effective LO model 0.50.40.4 C.0 GRV9BLO V6 E=3.2 GeV 0.3 GRV98L0 V6 (no charm) С.0 theta=27 0.2 0.8<Q2<1.6 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 E=2.4 GeV 0.1 theta=20 0.2<02<0.6 ⊔ 0.0 2.0 0.0 102 0.0 L 0.0 100 10^{4} S.00.4 0.6 0.4 0.6 0.8 Pbeam (GeV) х х **Photo-production (P)** 0.200.3 $\mathbb{E}=4.0 \text{ GeV}$ E=4.0 GeV theta=48 0.15 theta=30 1.0 0.2 2.6<Q2<4.3 1.6<Q2<2.7 0 0.10 0.8 0.1Deuteron 0 0.05 GRV98LO V6 0.6 0.00 L 0.4 0.0 L. 0.4 8.0 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.90.50.6 0.7 8.0 0.9 \mathbb{X} Х 0.2 F2(d) resonance low Q2 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.0 5.0 10.0 20.0 Pbeam (GeV) Photo-production (d)

2004 Updates on effective LO model

- Improvements in our model
 - Separate low Q² corrections to d and u valence quarks, and sea quarks
 - Include all inelastic F2 proton/deuterium (SLAC/NMC/BCDMC /HERA), photo-production on proton/deuterium in the fits (the c-cbar photon-gluon fusion contribution is included, important at high energy)
- Toward axial PDFs (vector PDFs vs axial PDFs)
 - Compare to neutrino data (assume V=A)
 CCCFR-Fe, CDHS-Fe, CHORUS-Pb differential cross section (without c-cbar boson-fusion in yet - to be added next since it is high energy data)
 - We have a model for axial low Q2 PDFs, but need to compare t o low energy neutrino data to get exact parameters - next.

Kvec = Q²/[Q²+C1] -> Kax = /[Q²+C2]/[Q²+C1]

Fit results using the updated model



http://web.pas.rochester.edu/~icpark/MINERvA/

Fit results









F2 deuterium

F2 proton









Resonance data are not included in the fit!!!

Comparison with CCFR neutrino data (Fe) (assume V=A)



Comparison with updated model (assume V=A) CCFR Fe data/ (Bodek-Yang Model)



Plots for all energy regions: CCFR diff. cross section data http://web.pas.rochester.edu/~icpark/MINERvA/

Comparison with CDHSW neutrino data (Fe) X=0.015 X=0.04 X=0.015 X=0.045 o(Data)/o(GRV98) o(Data)/o(GRV98) ന(Data)/ന(GRV98) ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) արար s a luuluu h N∂∂∮ <u>ահողողո</u> ō ō δ δ ₫ ō Q. φ X=0.080 X=0.125 X=0.080 X=0.125 o(Data)/o(GRV98) ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) a a a a a a ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) <u>հարորողո</u>ղ adardardardardardar alududu A statu X=0.175 X=0.225 X=0.175 X=0.225 o(Data)/o(GRV98) o(Data)/o(GRV98) ന(Data)/ന(GRV98) ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) لسلسلسل a a a a a ահահահահո X=0.275 X=0.350 X=0.275 X=0.350 o(Data)/o(GRV98) o(Data)/o(GRV98) ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) ര(Data)/ഗ(GRV98) a a a a a a a a a a 7.8 mlmlml 7.8 mlml 7 7 8 8 4 X=0.450 X=0.550 X=0.450 X=0.550 ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) o(Data)/o(GRV98) ന(Data)/ന(GRV98) ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) 2 6 4 2 YYYÇ X=0.650 X=0.650 **CDHSW Iron-v experiment CDHSW Iron-v experiment** ന്(Data)/ന(GRV98) ர(Data)/எ(GRV98) E(v)=23.0GeV E(v)=111.0GeV δδ δ E=110 GeV E=23 GeV Add radiative correction, ccbar contribution at low x to model - CDHS data/ (Bodek-Yang Model)

Comparison with CDHSW anti-neutrino data (Fe)



Radiative correction, ccbar contribution at low x



Correct for Nuclear Effects measured in e/muon expt.



Figure 5. The ratio of F_2 data for heavy nuclear targets and deuterium as measured in charged lepton scattering experiments(SLAC,NMC, E665). The band show the uncertainty of the parametrized curve from the statistical and systematic errors in the experimental data [16].



Comparison of Fe/D F2 data In resonance region (JLAB) Versus DIS SLAC/NMC data In _{TM} (C. Keppel 2002). Summary of Unified LO Approach works from Q2=0 to high Q2

For applications to Neutrino Oscillations at Low Energy (down to Q2=0) the best approach is to use a LO PDF analysis (including a more sophisticated target mass analysis) and modify to include the missing QCD higher order terms via Empirical Higher Twist Corrections. Reason:

For Q2>5 both Current Algebra exact sum rules (e.g. Adler sum rule) and <u>QCD sum rules (e.g. momentum sum rule) are satisfied</u>. This is why <u>duality works in the resonance region</u>. For Q2>5 we can also use either NNLO QCD analysis or a modified leading order analysis which uses duality + Adler to constrain elastic vector and axial form factors (Bodek-Yang)

For Q2<1, QCD corrections diverge, and all QCD sum rules (e.g <u>momentum sum rule</u>) break down, and <u>duality breaks down in the resonance</u> region. In contrast, Current Algebra Sum rules e,g, Adler sum rule which is related to the Number of (U minus D) Valence quarks) are valid. Our unified approach works for both Q2>1 and for Q2<1,

Summary and Plans

- Our effective LO model describe all F2 DIS, resonance, and photo-production data well.
- This model provide a good description on the neutrino cross section data (except axial vector contribution).
- Now working on the axial structure functions and next plan to work on resonance fits.
- JUPITER at Jlab (Bodek, Keppel) taken January 05 wil I provided electron-Carbon (also e-H and e-D and other nu clei such as e-Fe) in resonance region

JUPITER (preliminary data shown in next three slides)

Future: MINERvA at FNAL (McFarland, Morfin) will provi de Neutrino-Carbon data at low energies.

JUPITER Jlab DATA e-p January 2005 data



For most recent updated data

see PANIC 2005 Talk by Cynthia Keppel -This Session



JUPITER e-Al and e-Fe preliminary Cross Sections Jan 2005 data

