## Today in Physics 218: gauge transformations

More updates as we move from quasistatics to dynamics:

- ☐ #3: potentials
- ☐ For better use of potentials: gauge transformations
- ☐ The Coulomb and Lorentz gauges
- ☐ #4: force, energy, and momentum in electrodynamics



The spectre of the Brocken. Photo by Galen Rowell.

#### **Update #3: potentials**

In electrodynamics the divergence of  $\boldsymbol{B}$  is still zero, so according to the Helmholtz theorem and its corollaries (#2, in this case), we can still define a magnetic vector potential as

$$B = \nabla \times A$$
.

However, the curl of *E* isn't zero; in fact it hasn't been since we started magnetoquasistatics. What does this imply for the electric potential? Note that Faraday's law can be put in a suggestive form:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \quad \text{, or} \quad$$

$$\nabla \times \left( E + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = 0 \quad .$$

#### Potentials (continued)

Thus Corollary #1 to the Helmholtz theorem allows us to define a scalar potential for that last bracketed term:

$$E + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -\nabla V \implies E = -\nabla V - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$$

so we can still use the scalar electric potential in electrodynamics, but now both the scalar and the vector potential must be used to determine *E*.

## "Reference points" for potentials

Our usual reference point for the scalar potential in electrostatics is  $V \to 0$  at  $r \to \infty$ . For the vector potential in magnetostatics we imposed the condition  $\nabla \cdot A = 0$ .

- ☐ These reference points arise from exploitation of the built-in ambiguities in the static potentials: one can add any gradient to *A* and any constant to *V*, and still get the same fields.
- $\square$  So we decided to add whatever was necessary to make the second-order differential equations in A and V look like Poisson's equation (i.e. easy to solve).

In electrodynamics these choices no longer produce that last result:

#### "Reference points" for potentials (continued)

For instance, Gauss's law gives us

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho \implies \nabla \cdot \left(-\nabla V - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}\right) = 4\pi\rho$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 V + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot A = -4\pi \rho \quad ,$$

which with  $\nabla \cdot A = 0$  still leaves us with a Poisson equation, but Ampère's law gives

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times A) = \frac{4\pi}{c} J - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla V - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot A) - \nabla^2 A = \tag{P.R. #11}$$

or 
$$\left(\nabla^2 A - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2}\right) - \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right) = -\frac{4\pi}{c} J$$
.

## "Reference points" for potentials (continued)

This latter equation does not of course reduce to a Poisson equation with any of the reference conditions we have imposed. Thus we must look harder to use the built-in ambiguity of the potentials to make the differential equations simpler. The general way to do this, which we will cover next time, is called a gauge transformation.

## Gauge transformations

In electro- and magentostatics, we showed that we could always choose our conventional reference points,

$$V \to 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty$$
  $\nabla \cdot A = 0$ 

without placing any peculiar constraints on E or B. Now we have two, more complicated equations to simplify, and a more general approach is more fruitful.

Consider performing a transformation on A and V: add a vector to A and a scalar to V, giving new potential functions:

$$A' = A + \alpha$$
  $V' = V + \beta$ 

Now, we can't add just any old thing to the potentials; we need for the *fields* arising from the new potentials to be the same as those from the old:

$$B' = B$$

$$\nabla \times A' = \nabla \times A + \nabla \times \alpha$$

$$\nabla V' = \nabla V + \nabla \beta$$

$$\nabla \times \alpha = 0 \quad \text{, or}$$

$$\alpha = \nabla \lambda' \quad ,$$

$$E' = E$$

$$\nabla V' = \nabla V + \nabla \beta$$

$$-E' - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} = -E - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \nabla \beta$$

$$\nabla \beta = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (A - A') = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$$

where  $\lambda'$  is a scalar function of r and t.

Combine those last two results:

$$\nabla \beta = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \lambda'$$

$$\nabla \left( c + 1 \partial \lambda' \right)$$

$$\nabla \left( \beta + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \lambda'}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$

and integrate the second one over volume, applying the fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$\beta + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \lambda'}{\partial t} = f(t)$$

 $\beta + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \lambda'}{\partial t} = f(t)$  The integration "constant" f is not a function of position

We can combine the integration "constant" f with  $\lambda$ ' by defining

$$\lambda = \lambda' - c \int_{0}^{t} f(t') dt$$

Then, 
$$\beta = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \lambda'}{\partial t} + f(t) = -\frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + cf(t) \right) + f(t) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}$$

$$\alpha = \nabla \lambda' = \nabla \lambda \quad ,$$

Thus for any scalar function  $\lambda = \lambda(r,t)$ , the transformation

$$V' = V - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}$$
  $A' = A + \nabla \lambda$  Gauge transformation

makes new potentials but leaves the fields *E* and *B* unchanged.

This sort of operation on potentials is called a gauge transformation, and a particular choice of  $\lambda$  is called a gauge condition.

- $\Box$  Clever choices of  $\lambda$  can simplify one or the other of the second-order differential equations for the potentials.
- ☐ The solution of these simpler equations for the transformed potentials gives the same fields as the solutions to the untransformed, complicated equations

For instance, to simplify  $\nabla^2 V + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = -4\pi\rho$ , we could pick  $\lambda$  such that

$$\nabla \cdot A = 0$$

Coulomb gauge

which, as we showed in PHY 217 (see

http://www.pas.rochester.edu/~dmw/phy217/Lectures/Lect\_28b.pdf)

we can always do; that is, there always exists a function  $\lambda$  that we can add to A to give an A' with zero divergence. With this gauge condition,

$$\nabla^2 V' = -4\pi\rho \quad ,$$

just like in electrostatics (hence the name).

□ Coulomb gauge only does a lot of good in magnetoquasistatics, because otherwise the time derivative of *A* gets big enough that you have to remember that

$$E = -\nabla V - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \quad .$$

#### Lorentz gauge

It's hard to compute A in Coulomb gauge. On the other hand, we could choose  $\lambda$  such that

$$\nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0 \quad , \qquad \text{Lorentz}$$
gauge

for which the second-order PDEs we saw several pages back become

$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot A = -4\pi \rho$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 V - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = -4\pi \rho$$

## Lorentz gauge (continued)

and

$$\left(\nabla^2 A - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2}\right) - \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right) = -\frac{4\pi}{c} J$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \nabla^2 A - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} \right|$$

- □ Not utterly simple, but at least *V* and *A* are separately determined, and the four equations are very similar to one another.
- ☐ In fact, the four second-order PDEs here are (inhomogeneous) **wave equations**, the solution of which will concern us for the bulk of this semester.

## Lorentz gauge (continued)

Can one always use the Lorentz gauge? I think so, because:

$$\nabla \cdot A' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial V'}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} + \nabla \lambda) + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( V - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$

$$\nabla^2 \lambda - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial t^2} = -\left(\nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right) = g(r, t)$$

That is, the Lorentz gauge condition  $\lambda$  always obeys an inhomogenous wave equation, just as do the potentials *in* Lorentz gauge. In MTH 281 you proved the existence of solutions to such equations; we'll demonstrate such solutions this semester.

# Update #4: force, energy, and momentum in electrodynamics

The Lorentz force law,

$$\boldsymbol{F} = q \left( \boldsymbol{E} + \frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B} \right)$$

hasn't changed since we first learned it, but can be used to illuminate the relation of the potentials to mechanics. To wit:

$$\boldsymbol{F} = q \left( -\nabla V - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{v} \times \nabla \times \boldsymbol{A} \right)$$

According to product rule #4, written for v and A,

$$\nabla(v \cdot A) = v \times (\nabla \times A) + A \times (\nabla \times v) + (v \cdot \nabla)A + (A \cdot \nabla)v_0$$

$$= v \times (\nabla \times A) + (v \cdot \nabla)A \quad \text{because } v \text{ doesn't depend}$$

$$= v \times (\nabla \times A) + (v \cdot \nabla)A \quad \text{explicitly on } r$$

## Force, energy, and momentum in electrodynamics (continued)

so 
$$\mathbf{F} = -q \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} + \nabla \left( V - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) \right)$$

It will be useful to introduce total time derivatives:

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt}$$

$$= \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \left(v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) A = \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla) A$$

so 
$$\mathbf{F} = -q \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{d\mathbf{A}}{dt} + \nabla \left( V - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) \right)$$

## Force, energy, and momentum in electrodynamics (continued)

But F = dp/dt, where p is the momentum of the point charge q, so

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{p}}{dt} = -q \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{d\boldsymbol{A}}{dt} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left( \boldsymbol{V} - \frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \right) \right)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\boldsymbol{p} + \frac{q}{c}\boldsymbol{A}\right) = -\nabla\left(q\left[\boldsymbol{V} - \frac{1}{c}\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{A}\right]\right)$$

This has the form  $F = \frac{d}{dt} p_{\text{canonical}} = -\nabla U$ , where the canonical momentum is

 $p_{\text{canonical}} = p + \frac{q}{c}A \quad , \quad \text{Since } v = dr/dt, r$  and the related potential energy is

$$U = q\left(V - \frac{1}{c}\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}\right).$$

canonical coordinate

# Force, energy, and momentum in electrodynamics (continued)

In quantum mechanics one normally uses the Hamiltonian formulation of dynamics; this last result represents the easiest way to incorporate electrodynamics into quantum mechanics. From correspondence to classical mechanics, the Hamiltonian

$$H = \dot{x}_{canonical} \cdot p_{canonical} - L$$

gives rise to the quantum-mechanical equations of motion,

$$H\psi = E\psi$$
 ,

as well as the classical ones,

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\text{canonical}}} = \dot{x}_{\text{canonical}} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{\text{canonical}}} = \dot{p}_{\text{canonical}}$$

# Force, energy, and momentum in electrodynamics (continued)

The classical Lagrangian for a charge *q* in an electromagnetic field is therefore

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}_{\text{canonical}}^2 - U = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - qV + \frac{q}{c}v \cdot A \quad ,$$

so the classical Hamiltonian is

$$H = \dot{x}_{\text{canonical}} \cdot p_{\text{canonical}} - L = mv^2 + \frac{q}{c}v \cdot A - \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + qV - \frac{q}{c}v \cdot A$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + qV = \frac{p^2}{2m} + qV = \left|\frac{1}{2m}\right| p_{\text{canonical}} - \frac{q}{c}A\right|^2 + qV \quad .$$

In quantum mechanics,  $p_{\rm canonical} \rightarrow -i\hbar \nabla$ .