Today in Physics 218: impedance of the vacuum, and Snell's Law

- ☐ The impedance of linear media
- ☐ Spacecloth
- ☐ Reflection and transmission of electromagnetic plane waves at interfaces: Snell's Law and the first Fresnel relation



Lots of small pieces of Eccosorb spacecloth (Emerson & Cuming Microwave Products Corp.)

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Electromagnetic impedance of linear media

Enclose a hypothetical source of electromagnetic radiation with a surface. The power flowing through the surface will be

$$\begin{split} P(t) &= \oint S \cdot d\boldsymbol{a} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \oint (\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{H}) \cdot d\boldsymbol{a} = \frac{c}{4\pi\mu} \oint \left(\boldsymbol{E} \times \left(\sqrt{\mu \varepsilon} \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \times \boldsymbol{E} \right) \right) \cdot d\boldsymbol{a} \\ &= \frac{c}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}} \oint \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \right) \right) \cdot d\boldsymbol{a} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}} \oint E^2 da_\perp \\ &= \frac{c}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}} \oint E^2 d\ell_1 d\ell_2 = \frac{V_{\text{eff}}^2}{Z} \quad , \end{split}$$

where $Z = \frac{4\pi}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}$

 $= \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r \mu_0}{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0}}$ in MKS units

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Electromagnetic impedance of linear media (continued)

In vacuum,

$$Z=Z_0=\frac{4\pi}{c}=4.192\times 10^{-10}~{\rm sec~cm^{-1}~in~cgs~units},$$

$$=\sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}}=376.73~\Omega~{\rm in~MKS~units}.$$

(Officially, ohms per square, as we'll see shortly.)

As in the case of the string, the amplitude ratios for light reflected and transmitted by the interface between two linear media can be expressed compactly in terms of impedance, because

 $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2 \mu_1}{\varepsilon_1 \mu_2}} = \frac{Z_1}{Z_2} :$

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Electromagnetic impedance of linear media (continued)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{E}_{0T} &= \frac{2}{1+\beta} \tilde{E}_{0I} = \frac{2Z_2}{Z_2 + Z_1} \tilde{E}_{0I} \quad , \\ \tilde{E}_{0R} &= \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \tilde{E}_{0I} = \frac{Z_2 - Z_1}{Z_2 + Z_1} \tilde{E}_{0I} \quad . \end{split}$$

 $1+\beta = Z_2 + Z_1$ \square Note that the amplitude ratios come out simple in terms

of impedance, even if $\mu \neq 1$.

□ Note also that there's no reflected light if the impedances of the two media are equal (impedances **matched**). This will be true if $\varepsilon_2\mu_1 = \varepsilon_1\mu_2$; the media can be quite different and still have the same impedance.

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Spacecloth

What does it mean for vacuum to have an impedance of 377Ω ? (Most resistors have terminals!) Well, consider an infinite slab

of material with resistivity ρ and thickness d, and consider further a square of side L within the slab.

For voltage difference *V* between two sides of the square, a current will encounter a resistance

 $R = \frac{\rho L}{A} = \frac{\rho L}{Ld} = \frac{\rho}{d} \quad .$

This is true for any square, any size, any orientation.

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Spacecloth (continued)

Space is like this: any square (any size) taken from a slab of vacuum exhibits an impedance for electric fields applied across the square.

- □ One may think of this impedance as originating in the induction of *E* and *B* from each other: try to change *E*, for instance, and energy has to be put into *B* as well.
- ☐ A medium with resistivity and thickness such that its resistance per square is the same as vacuum would absorb light without reflection; that is, it would look to incident light as if it were an infinite vacuum.
- Such material exists: microwave engineers call it spacecloth.

Spacecloth (continued)



One prominent example of the use of highly optimized, durable spacecloth. (USAF photo.)

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Reflection and transmission for oblique incidence

Now let's consider plane electromagnetic waves incident obliquely on an infinite, planar surface between two different linear media, a case which has no counterpart in the realm of strings. The geometry is shown on the next page, and the fields are

fields are
$$\tilde{E}_I = \tilde{E}_{0I} e^{i(k_I \cdot r - \omega t)}$$
 $\tilde{B}_I = \sqrt{\mu_I \varepsilon_I} \hat{k}_I \times \tilde{E}_I$ $\tilde{E}_R = \tilde{E}_{0R} e^{i(k_R \cdot r - \omega t)}$ $\tilde{B}_R = \sqrt{\mu_I \varepsilon_I} \hat{k}_R \times \tilde{E}_R$


$$\tilde{E}_T = \tilde{E}_{0T} e^{i(k_T \cdot r - \omega t)}$$
 $\tilde{B}_T = \sqrt{\mu_2 \varepsilon_2} \hat{k}_T \times \tilde{E}_T$

and our problem is to find \tilde{E}_R , \tilde{E}_T , k_R , and k_T in terms of \tilde{E}_I and k_I . The former two will work out from the boundary conditions; the latter just from the fact that we are applying boundary conditions.

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Reflection and transmission for oblique incidence (continued)



The *k*s don't necessarily lie in a plane: we have to prove this.

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

(c) University of Rochester 3

Reflection and transmission for oblique incidence (continued)

Solution: At z=0, write the continuity conditions: all four this time: $\varepsilon_1 E_{\perp,1} - \varepsilon_2 E_{\perp,2} = 0$ $B_{\perp,1} - B_{\perp,2} = 0$

or,
$$E_{\parallel,1} - E_{\parallel,2} = 0 \qquad \frac{1}{\mu_1} B_{\parallel,1} - \frac{1}{\mu_2} B_{\parallel,2} = 0$$
$$\varepsilon_1 \left(\tilde{E}_{0Iz} + \tilde{E}_{0Rz} \right) = \varepsilon_2 \tilde{E}_{0Tz} \qquad \tilde{B}_{0Iz} + \tilde{B}_{0Rz} = \tilde{B}_{0Tz}$$

$$\tilde{E}_{0Ix} + \tilde{E}_{0Rx} = \tilde{E}_{0Tx}$$
 $\frac{1}{\mu_1} (\tilde{B}_{0Ix} + \tilde{B}_{0Rx}) = \frac{1}{\mu_2} \tilde{B}_{0Tx}$

$$\tilde{E}_{0Iy} + \tilde{E}_{0Ry} = \tilde{E}_{0Ty}$$
All of these are of the form
$$\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1} \left(\tilde{B}_{0Iy} + \tilde{B}_{0Ry} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu_2} \tilde{B}_{0Ty}$$

$$Ae^{i(\mathbf{k}_{I}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}+Be^{i(\mathbf{k}_{R}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}=Ce^{i(\mathbf{k}_{T}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}$$
 .

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Reflection and transmission for oblique incidence (continued)

☐ As we've seen, this sort of result is not special to electromagnetic waves, but appears in boundary conditions for any sort of wave. Now, it is an interesting fact that, for nonzero constants *A*, *B*, *C*, *a*, *b*, and *c*, if

$$Ae^{iau} + Be^{ibu} = Ce^{icu}$$

then a = b = c and A + B = C, for all u, as you will show in this week's homework (problem 9.15).

 \square For our boundary conditions, this means

at
$$z=0$$
, or
$$k_{Ix}x+k_{Iy}y=k_{Rx}x+k_{Ry}y=k_{Tx}x+k_{Ty}y \quad .$$

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

10, Spring 2004

11

12

Reflection and transmission for oblique incidence (continued)

- \square Furthermore, along the x axis, $k_{Ix}x = k_{Rx}x = k_{Tx}x$, and similarly $k_{Iy}y = k_{Ry}y = k_{Ty}y$ along the y axis.
- ☐ If we were to orient the axes such that $k_{Iy} = 0$, then $k_{Ry} = k_{Ty} = 0$ as well, and the situation will look exactly like the picture a couple of slides ago, with all the ks lying in a plane.
- ☐ Thus there is always such a plane:

 k_I , k_R , and k_T lie in a plane.

This plane is called the plane of incidence.

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

(c) University of Rochester

4

Reflection and transmission for oblique incidence (continued)

Now back to the figure. From $k_{Ix}x = k_{Rx}x = k_{Tx}x$ at z = 0 we also get

$$k_{I}\sin\theta_{I}=k_{R}\sin\theta_{R}=k_{T}\sin\theta_{T}\quad.$$

But $k_I=k_R=\omega/v_1=\omega n_1/c$, so the first equation gives us the familiar mirror reflection law:

$$\sin \theta_I = \sin \theta_R \implies \theta_I = \theta_R$$

And $k_T = \omega/v_2$, so

$$\boxed{\frac{\sin\theta_T}{\sin\theta_I} = \frac{k_I}{k_T} = \frac{v_2}{v_1} = \frac{n_1}{n_2}} \quad .$$
 Snell's Law

Since the conditions arise just from continuity, rather than the physics that gives rise in this case to continuity, these laws will apply to waves quite generally.

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

Reflection and transmission for oblique incidence (continued)

Since the wave vectors all lie in the incidence plane and the fields are transverse, we can treat separately the cases of light with \boldsymbol{E} polarized perpendicular to, or parallel to, the plane of incidence.

First we'll treat the perpendicular case, also known as problem !9.16.

4 February 2004


Physics 218, Spring 2004

14

(c) University of Rochester 5

E perpendicular to incidence plane (continued)

Let's use the same two boundary conditions that we used for normal incidence, continuity of the parallel components. (We only need two, because $-\tilde{B}_{0I}\cos\theta_{I}$ \tilde{E}_{0R} and \tilde{E}_{0T} are the only unknowns left.) At z = 0,



$$\tilde{E}_{0I} + \tilde{E}_{0R} = \tilde{E}_{0T} \quad , \quad$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu_1} \left(- \sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1} \tilde{E}_{0I} \cos \theta_I + \sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1} \tilde{E}_{0R} \cos \theta_I \right) = -\frac{1}{\mu_2} \sqrt{\mu_2 \varepsilon_2} \tilde{E}_{0T} \cos \theta_T.$$

The D_{\perp} condition tells us nothing this time; the B_{\perp} one tells us nothing new:

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

E perpendicular to incidence plane (continued)

 $\sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1} \tilde{E}_{0I} \sin \theta_I + \sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1} \tilde{E}_{0R} \sin \theta_I = \sqrt{\mu_2 \varepsilon_2} \tilde{E}_{0T} \sin \theta_T \quad .$ Using Snell's Law on this,

$$n_1 \sin \theta_I = n_2 \sin \theta_T \implies \sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1} \sin \theta_I = \sqrt{\mu_2 \varepsilon_2} \sin \theta_T$$
,

we get

$$\tilde{E}_{0I} + \tilde{E}_{0R} = \tilde{E}_{0T} \quad , \quad$$

which we already knew.

- ☐ We will generally find this to be true in our upcoming work: one boundary condition will tell us nothing, and two of the remaining ones will be equivalent.
- ☐ Also generally, though, the parallel-component BCs tell us different things, so well use them a lot.

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

E perpendicular to incidence plane (continued)

Now define $\alpha = \frac{\cos \theta_{\Gamma}}{\cos \theta_{I}}$, $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{2} \mu_{1}}{\varepsilon_{1} \mu_{2}}} = \frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}}$

and divide the H_{\parallel} equation by $\sqrt{\mu_1 \varepsilon_1} \cos \theta_I$:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{E}_{0I} + \tilde{E}_{0R} &= \tilde{E}_{0T} \quad , \\ \tilde{E}_{0I} - \tilde{E}_{0R} &= \alpha \beta \tilde{E}_{0T} \quad , \end{split}$$

$$E_{0I} - E_{0R} = \alpha \beta E_{0T} \quad ,$$
 almost like the string again. Add them to get
$$2\tilde{E}_{0I} = (1 + \alpha \beta)\tilde{E}_{0T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{E}_{0T} = \frac{2\tilde{E}_{0I}}{1 + \alpha \beta} \quad .$$

Multiply the first one by $\alpha\beta$ and subtract to get

$$(\alpha\beta - 1)\tilde{E}_{0I} + (\alpha\beta + 1)\tilde{E}_{0R} = 0 \implies \tilde{E}_{0R} = \frac{1 - \alpha\beta}{1 + \alpha\beta}\tilde{E}_{0I}$$

4 February 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

before, if

17