

Green's Theorem, Uniqueness, Green function - part II

We want to show that the boundary value problem we described is well posed - i.e. there is a unique solution. We start by deriving Green's Theorem

$$\text{Consider } \int_V d^3r \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \oint_S da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{A} \quad \text{Gauss theorem}$$

$$\text{let } \vec{A} = \phi \vec{\nabla} \psi \quad \phi, \psi \text{ any two scalar functions}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \phi \nabla^2 \psi + \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi$$

$$\phi \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot \hat{n} = \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_V d^3r (\phi \nabla^2 \psi + \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi) = \oint_S da \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} \quad \left. \vphantom{\int_V d^3r} \right\} \text{Green's 1st identity}$$

$$\text{let } \phi \leftrightarrow \psi$$

$$\int_V d^3r (\psi \nabla^2 \phi + \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi) = \oint_S da \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$$

subtract

$$\int_V d^3r (\phi \nabla^2 \psi - \psi \nabla^2 \phi) = \oint_S da \left(\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} - \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \right) \quad \left. \vphantom{\int_V d^3r} \right\} \text{Green's 2nd identity}$$

Apply Green's 2nd identity with $\psi = \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$, $\vec{r}'$ is integration variable, ϕ is the scalar potential with $\nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi \rho$. Use $\nabla^2 \psi = \nabla'^2 \psi = -4\pi \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$

$$\int_V d^3r' \left[\phi(\vec{r}') [-4\pi \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')] - \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \right) (-4\pi \rho(\vec{r}')) \right]$$

$$= \oint_S da' \left[\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \right) - \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n'} \right]$$

If $\vec{r}$ lies within the volume V , then

$$(*) \quad \phi(\vec{r}) = \int_V d^3r' \frac{\rho(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} + \oint_S \frac{da'}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m'} - \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial m'} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \right) \right]$$

Note: if $\vec{r}$ lies outside the volume V , then

$$0 = \int_V d^3r' \frac{\rho(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} + \oint_S \frac{da'}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m'} - \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial m'} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \right) \right]$$

$\uparrow$
 potential from a
 surface charge density
 $\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m'}$

$\uparrow$
 potential from a
 surface dipole layer of
 dipole strength density
 $\frac{\phi}{4\pi}$

From (*), if $S \rightarrow \infty$ and $E \sim \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m} \rightarrow 0$ faster than $\frac{1}{r}$, then the surface integral vanishes and we recover Coulomb's law $\phi(\vec{r}) = \int d^3r' \rho(\vec{r}')/|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|$

(*) gives the generalization of Coulomb's law to a system with a finite boundary

For a charge free volume V , i.e. $\rho(r) = 0$ in V , the potential everywhere is determined by the potential and its normal derivative on the surface.

But one cannot in general freely specify both ϕ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m}$ on the boundary surface since the resulting ϕ from (*) would not in general obey Laplace's equation $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$.

Specifying both ϕ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$ on surface is known as "Cauchy" boundary conditions — for Laplace's eqn, Cauchy b.c. overspecify the problem + a solution cannot in general be found.

Uniqueness

If we have a system of charges in vol V , and either the potential ϕ , or its normal derivative $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$, is specified on the surfaces of V , then there is a unique solution to Poisson's equation inside V . Specifying ϕ is known as Dirichlet boundary conditions. Specifying $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$ is known as Neumann boundary conditions.

proof: Suppose we had two solutions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , both with $-\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi\rho$ inside V , and obeying specified b.c. on surface of V .

$$\text{Define } U = \phi_2 - \phi_1 \rightarrow \nabla^2 U = 0 \text{ inside } V$$

and $U = 0$ on surface S — for Dirichlet b.c.

or $\frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = 0$ on surface S — for Neumann b.c.

Use Green's 1st identity with $\phi = \psi = U$

$$\int_V d^3r \left(U \nabla^2 U + \bar{\nabla} U \cdot \bar{\nabla} U \right) = \oint_S da U \frac{\partial U}{\partial n}$$

$$\text{as } \nabla^2 U = 0$$

$$\text{as } U \text{ or } \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_V d^3r |\vec{\nabla} u|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} u = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u = \text{const}$$

For Dirichlet b.c., $u=0$ on surface S , so $\text{const}=0$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. Solution is unique.

For Neumann b.c., ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 differ only by an arbitrary constant. Since $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi$, the electric fields $\vec{E}_1 = -\vec{\nabla}\phi_1$ and $\vec{E}_2 = -\vec{\nabla}\phi_2$ are the same.

~~Deleted~~ If boundary ~~surface~~ surface S consists of several disjoint pieces, then solution is unique if specify ϕ on some pieces and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$ on other pieces.

Solution of Poisson's equation with both ϕ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$ specified on the same surface S (Cauchy b.c.) does not in general exist, since specifying either ϕ or $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}$ alone is enough to give a unique solution.

Green's function - part II

Green's 2nd identity

$$\int_V d^3r' (\phi \nabla'^2 \psi - \psi \nabla'^2 \phi) = \oint_S da' (\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n'} - \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n'})$$

Apply above with $\phi(\vec{r}') = \psi(\vec{r}') = \phi(\vec{r}')$ electrostatic potential with $\nabla'^2 \phi = -4\pi\rho(\vec{r}')$
 $\psi(\vec{r}') = G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ the Green function satisfying

$$\nabla'^2 G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = -4\pi\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$$

we saw one solution of above is $G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$
but a more general solution is

$$G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} + F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$$

where $\nabla'^2 F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = 0$, for $\vec{r}'$ in volume V

we will choose $F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ to simplify solution of ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \int_V d^3r' (\phi(\vec{r}') \nabla'^2 G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') - G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \nabla'^2 \phi(\vec{r}')) \\ = \int_V d^3r' (\phi(\vec{r}') [-4\pi\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')] - G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') [-4\pi\rho(\vec{r}')]) \\ = -4\pi\phi(\vec{r}) + 4\pi \int_V d^3r' G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}') \\ = \oint_S da' (\phi \frac{\partial G}{\partial n'} - G \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n'}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(\vec{r}) = \int_V d^3r' G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}') + \oint_S \frac{da'}{4\pi} \left(G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{r}')}{\partial n'} - \phi(\vec{r}') \frac{\partial G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')}{\partial n'} \right)$$

Consider Dirichlet boundary problem. If we can choose $F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ such that $G_D(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = 0$ for $\vec{r}'$ on the boundary surface S , then above simplifies to

$$\left[\phi(\vec{r}) = \int_V d^3r' G_D(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}') - \oint_S \frac{da'}{4\pi} \phi(\vec{r}') \frac{\partial G_D(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')}{\partial n'} \right]$$

Since $\rho(\vec{r})$ is specified in V , and $\phi(\vec{r})$ is specified on S , above then gives desired solution for $\phi(\vec{r})$ inside volume V .

Finding G_D is therefore equivalent to finding an $F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ such that $\nabla'^2 F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = 0$ for $\vec{r}'$ in V (solves Laplace eqn) and

$$F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \frac{-1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \quad \text{for } \vec{r}' \text{ on boundary surface } S'$$

Always exists unique solution for F

Next consider Neumann boundary problem.

One might think to find $F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ such that $\frac{\partial G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')}{\partial m'} = 0$ on boundary surface. But this is not possible.

$$\text{Consider } \int_V \nabla'^2 G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') d^3 r' = \int_V \vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{\nabla}' G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') d^3 r'$$

$$= \oint_S \vec{\nabla}' G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \cdot \hat{n} da'$$

$$= \oint_S \frac{\partial G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')}{\partial m'} da' = -4\pi \quad \text{since} \quad \nabla'^2 G = -4\pi \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$$

So we can't have $\frac{\partial G}{\partial m'} = 0$ for $\vec{r}'$ on S

Simplest choice is then $\frac{\partial G_N(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')}{\partial m'} = -\frac{4\pi}{S}$ for $\vec{r}'$ on S
 $\swarrow$
 area of surface

Then

$$\phi(\vec{r}) = \int_V d^3 r' G_N(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}') + \oint \frac{da'}{4\pi} G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{r}')}{\partial m'} + \oint \frac{da'}{4\pi} \phi(\vec{r}') \left(\frac{-4\pi}{S} \right)$$

$$\left[\phi(\vec{r}') = \int_V d^3 r' G_N(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}') + \oint \frac{da'}{4\pi} G_N(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{r}')}{\partial m'} \right] + \langle \phi \rangle_S$$

Since $\rho(r)$ is specified in V and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m}$ is specified on S'

$\uparrow$ constant = average value of ϕ on surface S' .

Above gives solution $\phi(r)$ in V within additive constant $\langle \phi \rangle_S$
 Since $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} \phi$, the const $\langle \phi \rangle_S$ is of no consequence.

Finding $G_N(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ is therefore equivalent to finding an $F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ such that

$$\nabla'^2 F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = 0 \text{ for } \vec{r}' \text{ in } V$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\partial F(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')}{\partial n'} = -4\pi \frac{1}{S'} \text{ for } \vec{r}' \text{ on surface } S'$$

always exists a unique solution (within additive constant)

While G_D and G_N always exist in principle, they depend in detail on the shape of the surface S and are difficult to find except for single geometries

In preceding we defined G by $\nabla'^2 G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = -4\pi \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$

But our earlier interpretation of $G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ was that it was potential at $\vec{r}$ due to point source at $\vec{r}'$, i.e. $\nabla^2 G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = -4\pi \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$. Note, for general surface S' , $G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ is not in general a function of $|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|$ but depends on $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{r}'$ separately. But the equivalence of the two definitions of G above is obtained by noting that one can prove the symmetry property

$$G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = G(\vec{r}', \vec{r})$$

for Dirichlet b.c., and one can impose it as an additional requirement for Neumann b.c.

(see Jackson, end section 1.10)