

The Coulomb problem as a boundary value problem

Consider a conducting sphere of radius R with net charge q (as $R \rightarrow 0$ we get a point charge).
What is $\phi(\vec{r})$? What is $E(\vec{r})$?

Review: Properties of conductors in electrostatics

- 1) $\vec{E} = 0$ inside conductor - if $\vec{E} \neq 0$ then a current $\vec{j} = \sigma \vec{E}$ flows and it is not static (σ is conductivity)
- 2) $\rho = 0$ inside conductor - if $\vec{E} = 0$ inside, then $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi\rho = 0$
- 3) Any net charge on the conductor must lie on the surface - follows from (2)
- 4) $\phi = \text{constant}$ throughout conductor - if $\vec{E} = 0$ then $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi \Rightarrow \phi$ is constant
- 5) Just outside the conductor, \vec{E} is \perp to surface.
- If \vec{E} has a component \parallel to surface then it exerts a force on electrons at the surface leading to a surface current - so would not be static

For conducting sphere, $\rho = 0$ for $r > R$ and $r < R$
all charge is on the surface $\Rightarrow \nabla^2\phi = 0$ for $\begin{cases} r > R \\ r < R \end{cases}$

spherical symmetry \Rightarrow expect spherically symmetric solution

$\Rightarrow \phi(\vec{r})$ depends only on $r = |\vec{r}|$

⇒ Solve Laplace's eqn by writing ∇^2 in spherical coords,
Only the radial terms do not vanish.

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right) = 0$$

$$r^2 \frac{d\phi}{dr} = -C_0 \quad \text{a constant}$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} = -\frac{C_0}{r^2}$$

$$\phi(r) = \frac{C_0}{r} + C_1, \quad C_1 \text{ a constant}$$

"outside" $r > R$ $\phi^{\text{out}}(r) = \frac{C_0^{\text{out}}}{r} + C_1^{\text{out}}$

"inside" $r < R$ $\phi^{\text{in}}(r) = \frac{C_0^{\text{in}}}{r} + C_1^{\text{in}}$

solution "outside" does not necessarily go smoothly into the solution "inside" because of the charge layer at $r=R$ that separates the two regions. We need to determine the constants C_0^{in} , C_0^{out} , C_1^{in} , C_1^{out} by applying boundary conditions corresponding to the physical situation.

① For $r > R$, assume $\phi \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ - boundary condition at infinity

$$\Rightarrow C_1^{\text{out}} = 0$$

$$\phi^{\text{out}}(r) = \frac{C_0^{\text{out}}}{r} \quad \text{recover the expected Coulomb form.}$$

2) For $r < R$.

i) We could use the fact that the region $r < R$ is a conductor with $\phi = \text{constant}$ to conclude $C_0^{\text{in}} = 0$


ii) or, if we were dealing with a charged shell instead of a conductor, we could argue as follows:

no charge at origin $r=0 \Rightarrow$ expect ϕ should be finite at origin $\Rightarrow C_0^{\text{in}} = 0$

So $\phi^{\text{in}}(r) = C^{\text{in}}$ a constant


3) Now we need boundary condition at $r=R$ where "inside" and "outside" meet.

Review: Electric field and potential at a surface charge layer



\leftarrow a general surface S with surface charge density $\sigma(\vec{r})$ for \vec{r} on S . $\sigma(\vec{r})da$ is total charge in area da on surface

i) Take "Gaussian pillbox" surface about point \vec{r} on the surface S'



Gauss' Law in integral form $\oint_S da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi Q_{\text{enclosed}}$

expect \vec{E} is finite \rightarrow contribution from sides of pillbox vanish as $dl \rightarrow 0$.

$$\oint_S da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{E} = \int_{\text{top}} da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{E} + \int_{\text{bottom}} da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{E}$$

$$= \left(\hat{n}^{\text{top}} \cdot \vec{E}^{\text{top}} + \hat{n}^{\text{bottom}} \cdot \vec{E}^{\text{bottom}} \right) da \quad \text{since } da \text{ is small}$$


\vec{E}^{top} is electric field at \vec{r} just above the surface S'
 \vec{E}^{bottom} is electric field at \vec{r} just below the surface S'

$\hat{n}^{\text{top}} \equiv \hat{n}$ is outward normal on top
 $\hat{n}^{\text{bottom}} = -\hat{n}$ is outward normal on bottom

$$\Rightarrow \left(\vec{E}^{\text{top}} - \vec{E}^{\text{bottom}} \right) \cdot \hat{n} da = 4\pi Q_{\text{enclosed}} = 4\pi \sigma(\vec{r}) da$$

$$\boxed{\left(\vec{E}^{\text{top}} - \vec{E}^{\text{bottom}} \right) \cdot \hat{n} = 4\pi \sigma(\vec{r})} \quad \text{discontinuity in normal component of } \vec{E}$$

ii) Take "Amperian loop" C at surface about point \vec{r} .



$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = 0 \Rightarrow \oint_C d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{E} = 0 \quad \text{since } \vec{E} \text{ is finite at surface, if take sides } dl' \rightarrow 0 \text{ their contribution to integral vanishes}$$

$$\Rightarrow \oint_C d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{E} = \boxed{\left(\vec{E}^{\text{top}} - \vec{E}^{\text{bottom}} \right) \cdot d\vec{l} = 0}$$

where $d\vec{l}$ is any infinitesimal tangent to the surface at \vec{r} .

⇒ tangential component of \vec{E} is continuous

combine above to write $\boxed{\vec{E}^{\text{top}} - \vec{E}^{\text{bottom}} = 4\pi\sigma(F) \hat{m}}$

$$\text{iii) } \vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi \Rightarrow \phi(r_2) - \phi(r_1) = -\int_{r_1}^{r_2} d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{E}$$

Take \vec{r}_2 just above \vec{r} on surface
 \vec{r}_1 just below \vec{r} on surface } $d\vec{l} \rightarrow 0$

since \vec{E} is finite $\Rightarrow \int d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{E} \rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\phi^{\text{top}} = \phi^{\text{bottom}}}$$

potential ϕ is continuous at surface charge layer

can rewrite (i) as

$$(-\vec{\nabla}\phi^{\text{top}} + \vec{\nabla}\phi^{\text{bottom}}) \cdot \hat{m} = 4\pi\sigma$$

$$\boxed{-\frac{\partial\phi^{\text{top}}}{\partial m} + \frac{\partial\phi^{\text{bottom}}}{\partial m} = 4\pi\sigma}$$

↑ directional derivative of ϕ in direction \hat{m}

discontinuity in normal derivative of ϕ at surface

Apply to conducting sphere

$$\phi \text{ continuous} \Rightarrow \phi^{\text{in}}(R) = \phi^{\text{out}}(R)$$

$$C_1^{\text{in}} = \frac{C_0^{\text{out}}}{R}$$

only one unknown left

normal derivative of ϕ is discontinuous

$$-\frac{\partial \phi^{\text{top}}}{\partial n} + \frac{\partial \phi^{\text{bottom}}}{\partial n} = 4\pi\sigma$$

here $\hat{n} = \hat{r}$ the radial direction

$$\left[-\frac{d\phi^{\text{out}}}{dr} + \frac{d\phi^{\text{in}}}{dr} \right]_{r=R} = 4\pi\sigma$$

but $\frac{d\phi^{\text{in}}}{dr} = 0$ as $\phi^{\text{in}} = \text{constant}$

$$-\frac{d\phi^{\text{out}}}{dr} \Big|_{r=R} = 4\pi\sigma$$

charge q is uniformly distributed on surface at R

$$-\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{C_0^{\text{out}}}{r} \right) \Big|_{r=R} = \frac{C_0^{\text{out}}}{R^2} = 4\pi\sigma = 4\pi \left(\frac{q}{4\pi R^2} \right) = \frac{q}{R^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_0^{\text{out}} = q, \quad C_0^{\text{in}} = \frac{C_0^{\text{out}}}{R} = \frac{q}{R}$$

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{q}{R} & r < R \text{ inside} \\ \frac{q}{r} & r > R \text{ outside} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi = -\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \begin{cases} 0 & r < R \text{ inside} \\ \frac{q}{r^2} & r > R \text{ outside} \end{cases}$$

we get familiar Coulomb solution!

Summary We can view the preceding solution for ϕ_{out} as solving Laplace's eqn $\nabla^2\phi = 0$ subject to a specified boundary condition on the normal derivative of ϕ at the boundary $r=R$ of the "outside" region of the system.

Alternate problem:

Another physical situation would be to connect condyng sphere to a battery that charges the sphere to a fixed voltage ϕ_0 (stat volts!) with respect to ground $\phi=0$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$.

As before, outside the sphere $\phi = \frac{C_0}{r}$
Now the boundary condition is to specify the value of ϕ on the boundary of the outside region, i.e.

$$\phi(R) = \phi_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{C_0}{R} = \phi_0, \quad C_0 = \phi_0 R$$

$$\phi(r) = \phi_0 \frac{R}{r}$$

(from preceding solution, we know that charging the sphere to voltage ϕ_0 (statvolts) induces a net charge $q = \phi_0 R$ on it)

These two versions of the conducting sphere problem are examples of a more general boundary value problem

Solve $\nabla^2\phi = 0$ in a given region of space subject to one of the following two types of boundary conditions on the bounding surfaces of the region

i) Neumann boundary condition

$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$ - normal derivative of ϕ is specified on the bounding surfaces


ii) Dirichlet boundary condition

ϕ - value of ϕ is specified on the bounding surfaces

If the bounding surfaces consist of disjoint pieces, it is possible to specify either (i) or (ii) on each piece separately to get a mixed boundary value problem.

Some more problems

infinite conducting wire of radius R with line charge density $\lambda =$ charge per unit length



$$\text{surface charge } \sigma = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi R}$$

Expect cylindrical symmetry $\Rightarrow \phi$ depends only on cylindrical coord r .

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0 \quad \text{for } r > R, \quad r < R$$

use ∇^2 in cylindrical coords - only radial term non vanishing

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{d\phi}{dr} \right) = 0$$

$$r \frac{d\phi}{dr} = C_0 \quad \text{constant}$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \frac{C_0}{r}$$

$$\phi(r) = C_0 \ln r + C_1 \quad \text{const}$$

note: one cannot now choose $\phi \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$!

one needs to fix zero of ϕ at some other radius. a convenient choice is $r = R$, but any other choice could also be made.

$$\phi^{\text{out}} = C_0^{\text{out}} \ln r + C_1^{\text{out}}$$

$$\phi^{\text{in}} = C_0^{\text{in}} \ln r + C_1^{\text{in}}$$

$$\phi^{\text{in}} = \text{const in conductor} \Rightarrow C_0^{\text{in}} = 0$$

$$\text{or } \phi^{\text{in}} \text{ should not diverge as } r \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow C_0^{\text{in}} = 0$$

$$\text{So } \phi^{\text{in}} = C_1^{\text{in}} \text{ constant}$$

boundary condition at $r=R$

$$\left[-\frac{d\phi^{\text{out}}}{dr} + \frac{d\phi^{\text{in}}}{dr} \right]_{r=R} = 4\pi\sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{C_0^{\text{out}}}{R} = 4\pi\sigma = 4\pi \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi R} \right) = \frac{2\lambda}{R}$$

$$C_0^{\text{out}} = -2\lambda$$

$$\phi^{\text{out}}(r) = -2\lambda \ln r + C_1^{\text{out}}$$

continuity of ϕ

$$\phi^{\text{in}}(R) = \phi^{\text{out}}(R) \Rightarrow C_1^{\text{in}} = -2\lambda \ln R + C_1^{\text{out}}$$


Remaining const C_1^{out} is not too important as it is just a common additive constant to both ϕ^{in} and $\phi^{\text{out}} \Rightarrow$ does not change $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi$.

If use the condition $\phi(R) = 0$ then we can solve for C_1^{out} .

$$0 = -2\lambda \ln R + C_1^{\text{out}} \Rightarrow C_1^{\text{out}} = 2\lambda \ln R$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(r) = \begin{cases} -2\lambda \ln(r/R) & r > R \\ 0 & r < R \end{cases}$$

infinite conducting half space $\Rightarrow \vec{E}(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\lambda}{r} \hat{r} & r > R \\ 0 & r < R \end{cases}$



$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \phi^>(x) = C_0^>x + C_1^> & x > 0 \\ \phi^<(x) = C_0^<x + C_1^< & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

for $x < 0$, $\phi = \text{const}$ in conductor $\Rightarrow C_0^< = 0$

at $x = 0$, ϕ continuous $\Rightarrow \phi^<(0) = \phi^>(0)$

$$C_1^< = C_1^>$$

$\frac{d\phi}{dx}$ discontinuous \Rightarrow

$$-\left. \frac{d\phi^>}{dx} \right|_{x=0} = 4\pi\sigma$$

$$C_0^> = -4\pi\sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) = \begin{cases} -4\pi\sigma x + C_1^> & x > 0 \\ C_1^> & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

const $C_1^>$ does not change value of \vec{E}

as for the wire, we cannot choose $\phi \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
we can set $\phi = 0$ at

$$-\vec{\nabla}\phi = \vec{E} = \begin{cases} 4\pi\sigma \hat{x} & x > 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

infinite charged plane

similar to previous problem, but now no conductor at $x < 0$, just free space on both sides of the charged plane at $x = 0$.

~~expect ϕ depends on x by symmetry~~

$$\nabla^2\phi = \frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \phi^> &= c_0^> x + c_1^> & x > 0 \\ \phi^< &= c_0^< x + c_1^< & x < 0 \end{aligned}$$

continuity of ϕ at $x = 0$

$$\rightarrow \phi^>(0) = \phi^<(0) \Rightarrow c_1^> = c_1^<$$

discontinuity of $d\phi/dx$ at $x = 0$

$$-\frac{d\phi^>}{dx} + \frac{d\phi^<}{dx} = 4\pi\sigma$$

$$-c_0^> + c_0^< = 4\pi\sigma$$

$$\text{Define } \bar{c}_0 = \frac{c_0^> + c_0^<}{2}$$

Then we can write

$$C_0^< = \bar{C}_0 + 2\pi\sigma$$

$$C_0^> = \bar{C}_0 - 2\pi\sigma$$

$$\phi = \begin{cases} -2\pi\sigma x + \bar{C}_0 x + C_1^> & x > 0 \\ 2\pi\sigma x + \bar{C}_0 x + C_1^< & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{d\phi}{dx} = \vec{E} = \begin{cases} (2\pi\sigma - \bar{C}_0) \hat{x} & x > 0 \\ (-2\pi\sigma - \bar{C}_0) \hat{x} & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Const $C_1^>$ does not effect \vec{E} - additive const to ϕ

\bar{C}_0 represents const uniform electric field $-\bar{C}_0 \hat{x}$, that exists independently of the charged surface

If we assumed that all \vec{E} fields are just those arising from the plane, then we can set $\bar{C}_0 = 0$. Equivalently, if the plane is the only source of \vec{E} , then we expect ϕ depends only on $|x|$ by symmetry.

$\Rightarrow C_1^< = -C_1^>$ and again $\bar{C}_0 = 0$. In this case

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} -2\pi\sigma x & x > 0 \\ 2\pi\sigma x & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

(we also set $C_1^> = 0$ here corresponding to $\phi(0) = 0$)

$$\vec{E}(x) = \begin{cases} 2\pi\sigma \hat{x} & x > 0 \\ -2\pi\sigma \hat{x} & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

\vec{E} is constant ^{but} oppositely directed on either side of the charged plane

Green's Theorem, Uniqueness, Green function - part II

We want to show that the boundary value problem we described is well posed - i.e. there is a unique solution. We start by deriving Green's Theorems

$$\text{Consider } \int_V d^3r \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \oint_S da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{A} \quad \text{Gauss theorem}$$

$$\text{let } \vec{A} = \phi \vec{\nabla} \psi \quad \phi, \psi \text{ any two scalar functions}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \phi \nabla^2 \psi + \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi$$

$$\phi \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot \hat{n} = \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_V d^3r (\phi \nabla^2 \psi + \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi) = \oint_S da \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial m} \quad \left. \vphantom{\int_V} \right\} \text{Green's 1st identity}$$

$$\text{let } \phi \leftrightarrow \psi$$

$$\int_V d^3r (\psi \nabla^2 \phi + \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi) = \oint_S da \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m}$$

subtract

$$\int_V d^3r (\phi \nabla^2 \psi - \psi \nabla^2 \phi) = \oint_S da \left(\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial m} - \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m} \right) \quad \left. \vphantom{\int_V} \right\} \text{Green's 2nd identity}$$

Apply Green's 2nd identity with $\psi = \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$, \vec{r}' is integration variable, ϕ is the scalar potential with $\nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi\rho$. Use $\nabla^2 \psi = \nabla'^2 \psi = -4\pi \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$

$$\int_V d^3r' \left[\phi(\vec{r}') [-4\pi \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')] - \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \right) (-4\pi \rho(\vec{r}')) \right]$$
$$= \oint_S da' \left[\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial m'} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \right) - \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m'} \right]$$

If \vec{r} lies within the volume V , then

$$(*) \quad \phi(\vec{r}) = \int_V d^3r' \frac{\rho(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} + \oint_S \frac{da'}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m'} - \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial m'} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \right) \right]$$

Note: if \vec{r} lies outside the volume V , then

$$0 = \int_V d^3r' \frac{\rho(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} + \oint_S \frac{da'}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m'} - \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial m'} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \right) \right]$$

\uparrow
 potential from a
 surface charge density
 $\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m'}$

\uparrow
 potential from a
 surface dipole layer of
 dipole strength density
 $\frac{\phi}{4\pi}$

From (*), if $S \rightarrow \infty$ and $E \sim \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m} \rightarrow 0$ faster than $\frac{1}{r}$, then the surface integral vanishes and we recover Coulomb's law $\phi(\vec{r}) = \int d^3r' \rho(\vec{r}')/|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|$

(*) gives the generalization of Coulomb's law to a system with a finite boundary

For a charge free volume V , i.e. $\rho(r) = 0$ in V , the potential everywhere is determined by the potential and its normal derivative on the surface.

But one cannot in general freely specify both ϕ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m}$ on the boundary surface since the resulting ϕ from (*) would not in general obey $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$.

Specifying both ϕ and $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$ on surface is known as "Cauchy" boundary conditions — for Laplace's eqn, Cauchy b.c. overspecify the problem + a solution cannot in general be found.

Uniqueness

If we have a system of charges in vol V , and either the potential ϕ , or its normal derivative $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$, is specified on the surfaces of V , then there is a unique solution to Poisson's equation inside V . Specifying ϕ is known as Dirichlet boundary conditions. Specifying $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$ is known as Neumann boundary conditions.

proof: Suppose we had two solutions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , both with $-\nabla^2\phi = 4\pi\rho$ inside V , and obeying specified b.c. on surface of V .

$$\text{Define } U = \phi_2 - \phi_1 \rightarrow \nabla^2 U = 0 \text{ inside } V$$

and $U = 0$ on surface S — for Dirichlet b.c.
or $\frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = 0$ on surface S — for Neumann b.c.

Use Green's 1st identity with $\phi = \psi = U$

$$\int_V d^3r (U \nabla^2 U + \vec{\nabla} U \cdot \vec{\nabla} U) = \oint_S da U \frac{\partial U}{\partial n}$$

$\overset{0}{\parallel}$ $\overset{0}{\parallel}$

as $\nabla^2 U = 0$ as $\nabla U \cdot \hat{n} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \int_V d^3r |\vec{\nabla} u|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} u = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u = \text{const}$$

For Dirichlet b.c., $u=0$ on surface S , so $\text{const}=0$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. Solution is unique

For Neumann b.c., ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 differ only by an arbitrary constant. Since $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi$, the electric fields $\vec{E}_1 = -\vec{\nabla}\phi_1$ and $\vec{E}_2 = -\vec{\nabla}\phi_2$ are the same.

~~Solution~~ If boundary ~~surface~~ surface S consists of several disjoint pieces, then solution is unique if specify ϕ on some pieces and $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$ on other pieces.

Solution of Poisson's equation with both ϕ and $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$ specified on the same surface S (Cauchy b.c.) does not in general exist, since specifying either ϕ or $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}$ alone is enough to give a unique solution.