


# Capacitance

Consider a set of conductors with potential  $\phi(\vec{r}) = V_i$  fixed on conductor  $i$



(also need condition on  $\phi(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \infty$  if system is not enclosed)

From uniqueness theorem we know that specifying the  $V_i$  on each conductor is enough to determine the potential  $\phi(\vec{r})$  everywhere. We can write this potential in the following form -

Let  $\phi^{(i)}(\vec{r})$  be the solution to the boundary value problem  $\nabla^2 \phi^{(i)}(\vec{r}) = 0$  and  $\phi^{(i)}(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{r} \text{ on surface of conductor } (i) \\ 0 & \text{if } \vec{r} \text{ on surface of any other conductor } (j), j \neq i \end{cases}$

Then by superposition

$$\phi(\vec{r}) = \sum_i V_i \phi^{(i)}(\vec{r})$$

is solution to the problem  $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$  and  $\phi(\vec{r}) = V_i$  for  $\vec{r}$  on surface of conductor  $(i)$

The surface charge density at  $\vec{r}$  on surface of conductor  $(i)$  is

$$\sigma^{(i)}(\vec{r}) = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{r})}{\partial m} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j V_j \frac{\partial \phi^{(j)}(\vec{r})}{\partial m}$$

where  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial m} = (\vec{\nabla} \phi) \cdot \hat{m}$  is the derivative normal to the surface at point  $\vec{r}$ .

The total charge on conductor (i) is

$$Q_i = \int_{S_i} da \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j V_j \int_{S_i} da \frac{\partial \phi^{(j)}}{\partial n}$$

↑  
surface of conductor (i)

Define  $C_{ij} \equiv -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S_i} da \frac{\partial \phi^{(j)}}{\partial n}$

the  $C_{ij}$  depend only on the geometry of the conductors

Then we have

$$Q_i = \sum_j C_{ij} V_j$$

↑

$C_{ij}$  is the capacitance matrix

The charge on conductor (i) is a linear function of the potentials  $V_j$  on the conductors (j)

Since we know that specifying the  $Q_i$  that is on each conductor will uniquely determine  $\phi(\vec{r})$  and hence the potential  $V_i$  on each conductor, the capacitance matrix is invertible

$$V_i = \sum_j [C^{-1}]_{ij} Q_j$$

The electrostatic energy of the conductors is then

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \rho \phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i Q_i V_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} C_{ij} V_i V_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} C_{ij}^{-1} Q_i Q_j$$

Common to define Capacitance of two conductors by

$$C = \frac{Q}{V_1 - V_2}$$

when conductor (1) has charge  $Q$   
conductor (2) has charge  $-Q$

$V_1 - V_2$  is potential difference between the two conductors.

all other conductors fixed at  $V_i = 0$

We can determine  $C$  in terms of the elements of the matrix  $C_{ij}$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Q &= C_{11}V_1 + C_{12}V_2 \\ -Q &= C_{21}V_1 + C_{22}V_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow V_2 = -\left(\frac{C_{11} + C_{21}}{C_{12} + C_{22}}\right)V_1$$

$$\Rightarrow Q = \left[ C_{11} - C_{12} \left( \frac{C_{11} + C_{21}}{C_{12} + C_{22}} \right) \right] V_1$$

$$V_1 - V_2 = \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{C_{11} + C_{21}}{C_{12} + C_{22}} \right) \right] V_1$$

$$C = \frac{Q}{V_1 - V_2} = \frac{C_{11} - C_{12} \left( \frac{C_{11} + C_{21}}{C_{12} + C_{22}} \right)}{1 + \left( \frac{C_{11} + C_{21}}{C_{12} + C_{22}} \right)}$$

$$C = \frac{C_{11}C_{22} - C_{12}C_{21}}{C_{11} + C_{12} + C_{21} + C_{22}}$$

Capacitance can also be defined when the space between the conductors is filled with a dielectric  $\epsilon$ . In this case, if  $Q_i$  is the free charge, then  $Q_i/\epsilon$  is the effective total charge to use in computing  $\phi$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{Q_i}{\epsilon} = \sum_j C_{ij}^{(0)} V_j$$

where  $C_{ij}^{(0)}$  are capacitances appropriate to a vacuum between the conductors


$$\Rightarrow Q_i = \sum_j \epsilon C_{ij}^{(0)} V_j$$

$$= \sum_j C_{ij} V_j \quad \text{where } C_{ij} = \epsilon C_{ij}^{(0)}$$

the capacitance is increased by a factor the dielectric constant  $\epsilon$ .

## Inductance

Consider a set of current carrying loops  $C_i$  with currents  $I_i$



In Coulomb gauge, we can write the magnetic vector potential  $\vec{A}$  from these current loops as

$$\vec{A}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{c} \int d^3r' \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} = \sum_i \frac{I_i}{c} \oint_{C_i} \frac{d\vec{l}'}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}$$

↑ integrate over loop  $C_i$   
integration variable is  $\vec{r}'$

The magnetic flux through loop  $i$  is

$$\Phi_i = \int_{S_i} da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{B} = \int_{S_i} da \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \oint_{C_i} d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{A}$$

↑ surface bounded  
by loop  $C_i$

$$\Phi_i = \sum_j \frac{I_j}{c} \oint_{C_i} \oint_{C_j} \frac{d\vec{l}_i \cdot d\vec{l}_j}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|}$$

pure geometrical  
quantity


$$\boxed{\Phi_i \equiv c \sum_j M_{ij} I_j}$$

$$\text{where } M_{ij} = \oint_{C_i} \oint_{C_j} \frac{d\vec{l}_i \cdot d\vec{l}_j}{c^2 |\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|}$$

is the mutual inductance of  
loops  $(i)$  and  $(j)$ .  $M_{ji} = M_{ij}$

$L_i \equiv M_{ii}$  is self-inductance of loop (i)

The sign convention in the above is that,  $\Phi_i$  is computed in direction given by right hand rule, according to the direction taken for current in loop (i)



Magnetostatic energy

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2c} \int d^3r \vec{j} \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{1}{2c} \sum_i \oint_{C_i} d\vec{l} \cdot \vec{A} I_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2c} \sum_i \Phi_i I_i$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} M_{ij} I_i I_j$$

## Electromagnetic waves in a vacuum

No sources  $\vec{j} = 0$ ,  $\rho = 0$

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1) \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0 & 3) \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \\ 2) \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} & 4) \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \end{array}$$

$$\nabla \times (2) \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}) = \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) - \nabla^2 \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B})$$

0'' by (1)

$$-\nabla^2 \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

Similarly

$$\nabla^2 \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{B}}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

} wave equation  
wave speed is  $c$ .

Note: in MKS units, above wave equation looks like

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} - \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

It was noticed that the speed of electromagnetic wave,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}} = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$$

was the same as the speed of

light! This observation was a key element in showing that light was in fact electromagnetic waves

# Harmonic

## Plane waves

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) &= \text{Re} \left[ \vec{E}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] \\ \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) &= \text{Re} \left[ \vec{B}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right]\end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned}\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) \\ \vec{B}(\vec{r}, t)\end{aligned}} \right\} \text{complex exponential form}$$

$\vec{k}$  is wave vector

$\omega$  is angular frequency

$\nu = \omega/2\pi$  is frequency

$T = 1/\nu$  is period

$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{|\vec{k}|}$  is wavelength

$\left. \begin{array}{l} |\vec{E}_k| \\ |\vec{B}_k| \end{array} \right\}$  is amplitude

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r} + \lambda \hat{k}, t) = \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)$$

periodic in space with period  $\lambda$

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t + T) = \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)$$

periodic in time with period  $T$

"plane wave"  $\Rightarrow \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)$  is constant in space on planes with normal  $\hat{m} \parallel \vec{k}$ .

### properties of EM plane waves

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0 &\Rightarrow \text{Re} \left[ \vec{E}_k \cdot \vec{\nabla} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] \\ &= \text{Re} \left[ i \vec{E}_k \cdot \vec{k} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \vec{E}_k \cdot \vec{k} = 0\end{aligned}$$

amplitude is orthogonal to  $\vec{k}$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{B}_k \cdot \vec{k} = 0$$

amplitude orthogonal to  $\vec{k}$



$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left[ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{1}{c} \vec{E}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left[ -\vec{B}_k \times \vec{\nabla} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[ -\frac{i\omega}{c} \vec{E}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left[ i\vec{k} \times \vec{B}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[ -\frac{i\omega}{c} \vec{E}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{k} \times \vec{B}_k = -\frac{\omega}{c} \vec{E}_k$$

$$\vec{k} \times \vec{k} \times \vec{B}_k = -k^2 \vec{B}_k = -\frac{\omega}{c} \vec{k} \times \vec{E}_k$$

$$\underline{\vec{B}_k = \frac{\omega}{ck^2} \vec{k} \times \vec{E}_k}$$

Finally

$$\nabla^2 E - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left[ \vec{E}_k \nabla^2 e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left[ \vec{E}_k (-k^2) e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \vec{E}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}$$

$$\boxed{\omega = \pm kc} \quad \underline{\text{dispersion relation}}$$


insert in above

$$\vec{B}_k = \hat{k} \times \vec{E}_k$$

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\vec{k}}{|\vec{k}|}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\vec{B}| = |\vec{E}|$$

Summary



$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{E}_k &\perp \vec{k} \\ \vec{B}_k &\perp \vec{k} \\ \vec{B}_k &= \hat{k} \times \vec{E}_k \\ \omega^2 &= c^2 k^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{"transverse" polarization}$$

$|\vec{B}_k| = |\vec{E}_k| \Rightarrow$  Lorentz force from plane EM wave on charge  $q$  is

$$q \left( \vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right)$$

magnetic force is smaller factor  $\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)$  as compared to electric force - can usually be ignored

Most general solution is a linear superposition of the above <sup>harmonic</sup> plane waves

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{E}_k e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)}$$

Fourier transform

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) \text{ is real} \Rightarrow \vec{E}_k^* = \vec{E}_{-k}$$

For dispersion relation  $\omega^2 = c^2 k^2$  we can write

$$\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t = \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{v} t)$$

where  $\vec{v} = c \hat{k}$  is velocity of wave. If we only combine waves traveling in same direction  $\hat{k}$ , then

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{E}_k e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{v} t)} = \vec{E}(\vec{r} - \vec{v} t, 0)$$

The general <sup>plane wave</sup> solution of wave equation always has this property

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) = \vec{E}(\vec{r} - \vec{v} t, 0)$$

If know  $\vec{E}$  at  $t=0$ , then know  $\vec{E}$  at all times  $t$