

Back to dynamics

(24)

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}} \text{ remain's true}$$

But now instead of $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = 0$ we have

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$

\Rightarrow there exists a scalar potential ϕ such that

$$\vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \phi \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}}$$

Gauss's law for electric field now becomes

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi\rho = -\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A})}{\partial t} = 4\pi\rho$$

$$\boxed{\nabla^2 \phi + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A})}{\partial t} = -4\pi\rho}$$

Gauss law in terms of electromagnetic potentials

Ampere's law becomes

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{\nabla} \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

$$-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\vec{\nabla} \phi + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right)$$

or
$$-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} - \vec{\nabla} \left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right)$$

Gauge invariance

As before, we can always construct $\vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \chi$, for any scalar function χ , that gives the same \vec{B} . But since \vec{A} now also enters expression for \vec{E} , we need to make sure that if we change \vec{A} to \vec{A}' , we must make some corresponding change ϕ to ϕ' so that \vec{E} does not change.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \chi \\ \phi' = \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} \end{array} \right] \text{ gauge transformation}$$

For any scalar χ , the above \vec{A}' and ϕ' give the same values of \vec{E} and \vec{B} as \vec{A} and ϕ .

Proof:
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}' = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \chi = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{B}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(-\vec{\nabla} \phi' - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}'}{\partial t} \right) &= -\vec{\nabla} \phi + \frac{1}{c} \vec{\nabla} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\nabla} \chi \\ &= \left(-\vec{\nabla} \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = \vec{E} \end{aligned}$$

As before, we can fix the gauge by imposing some additional constraint on \vec{A} and ϕ . There are two popular choices:

1) Lorentz Gauge

gauge constraint: require $\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$

Then Gauss' Law becomes

$$\nabla^2 \phi + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) = -4\pi \rho$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) = -4\pi \rho$$

$$\boxed{\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = -4\pi \rho}$$

Ampere's Law becomes

$$-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} - \vec{\nabla} \left(\underbrace{\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}}_0 + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j}}$$

The combination $-\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \equiv \square^2$ is the wave equation operator.

In Lorentz gauge, \vec{A} and ϕ satisfy the inhomogeneous wave equations:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \square^2 \vec{A} &= \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} \\ \square^2 \phi &= 4\pi \rho \end{aligned}}$$

when $\vec{j}=0, \rho=0$ electromagnetic waves are solution!

proof that we can always find \vec{A} and ϕ that satisfy the Lorentz gauge condition

$$\text{Suppose } \nabla \times \vec{A} = \vec{B} \quad \text{and} \quad -\nabla \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = \vec{E}$$

$$\text{but } \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = D(\vec{r}, t) \neq 0$$

$$\text{Construct } \vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \nabla \chi$$
$$\phi' = \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}$$

by gauge invariance we know \vec{A}' and ϕ' give the same \vec{E} and \vec{B} as before.

$$\text{now: } \nabla \cdot \vec{A}' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \nabla^2 \chi + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial t^2}$$
$$= D - \square^2 \chi$$

So \vec{A}' and ϕ' will be in the Lorentz gauge provided we choose $\chi(\vec{r}, t)$ such that

$$\square^2 \chi = D \quad \leftarrow \text{inhomogeneous wave equation}$$

Just like there is always a solution to Poisson's Eq $\nabla^2 \phi = f$, so there is always a solution to the inhomogeneous wave equation, hence we can always find a $\chi(\vec{r}, t)$ that transforms to the Lorentz gauge

Note: Lorentz gauge condition does not uniquely determine \vec{A} and ϕ . If one constructs \vec{A} and ϕ obeying Lorentz gauge condition, and then constructs

$$\vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}\chi$$

$$\phi' = \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}$$

then \vec{A}' and ϕ' will also be in Lorentz gauge provided $\square^2 \chi = 0$ (proof left to reader)

2) Coulomb Gauge

gauge constraint: require $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$

if \vec{A} is in the Coulomb Gauge, then

$\vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}\chi$ will also be in Coulomb gauge

provided $\nabla^2 \chi = 0$.

Then Gauss' law becomes

$$\nabla^2 \phi + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) = -4\pi \rho$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi \rho} \quad \text{same as electrostatics!}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(\vec{r}, t) = \int d^3r' \frac{\rho(\vec{r}', t)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$$

no matter what motion the source $\rho(\vec{r}, t)$ has! ϕ is given by the instantaneous Coulomb potential even though electromagnetic fields have a finite velocity of propagation c !

Ampere's Law becomes:

$$-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} - \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t})$$

$$\square^2 \vec{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} - \frac{1}{c} \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) \quad \text{since } \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$$

Now use the solution for ϕ in the Coulomb gauge to write

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) &= \vec{\nabla} \left[\int d^3r' \frac{\partial \rho(\vec{r}', t)}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \right] \\ &= -\vec{\nabla} \left[\int d^3r' \frac{\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r}', t)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

last step follows from conservation of charge $\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{j} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$

To see the meaning of this term, recall (and we will soon demonstrate explicitly) that any vector function $\vec{f}(\vec{r}, t)$ can always be written as the sum of a curlfree part and a divergenceless part

$$\vec{f} = \vec{f}_{\parallel} + \vec{f}_{\perp} \quad \text{where } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f}_{\parallel} = 0 \text{ curlfree}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f}_{\perp} = 0 \text{ divergenceless}$$

when $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f}$ and $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f}$ are localized functions that vanish as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have for solutions (proof to follow)

$$\vec{f}_{\parallel}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{\nabla} \int d^3r' \frac{\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{f}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$$

$$\vec{f}_{\perp}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{\nabla} \times \int d^3r' \frac{\vec{\nabla}' \times \vec{f}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$$

The welfare part is also called the longitudinal part
the divergenceless part is also called the transverse part
Returning to Ampere's law we see that the term

$$\vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) = -\vec{\nabla} \int d^3r' \left[\frac{\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{j}(r', t)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \right]$$
$$= 4\pi \vec{j}_{||}(\vec{r}, t)$$

So Ampere's law becomes

$$\square^2 \vec{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} - \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j}_{||}$$

$$\square^2 \vec{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j}_{\perp}$$

In Coulomb gauge, only the transverse part of \vec{j} serves as a source for \vec{A} .

\vec{A} describes the transverse modes, i.e. the EM radiation (recall in EM waves, the fields are always \perp direction of propagation)

ϕ describes the longitudinal modes

Coulomb gauge is not Lorentz invariant - if $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ in one inertial reference frame, in general $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} \neq 0$ in another.

In Coulomb gauge, if $\rho = 0$, then $\phi = 0$ and

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

Transverse + Longitudinal Parts of vector functions

To prove the preceding claim, $\vec{f} = \vec{f}_{\parallel} + \vec{f}_{\perp}$, where $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f}_{\parallel} = 0$ and $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f}_{\perp} = 0$, we first desire to prove Helmholtz Theorem.

Helmholtz Theorem: For a vector function $\vec{f}(\vec{r})$ if one knows the divergence and curl of \vec{f} then one can ~~uniquely~~ uniquely determine \vec{f} itself.

That is, if

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f} = 4\pi D(\vec{r}) \quad \text{where } D(\vec{r}) \text{ is a known scalar function}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f} = 4\pi \vec{C}(\vec{r}) \quad \text{where } \vec{C}(\vec{r}) \text{ is a known vector function.}$$

~~Then one can solve for~~

And if well defined boundary conditions on \vec{f} are known (here we will assume $\vec{f}(\vec{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$) then there is a unique solution for $\vec{f}(\vec{r})$.

We prove this by construction!

Assume a solution of the form

$$\vec{f} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{W} \quad \text{where } \phi \text{ is a scalar and } \vec{W} \text{ a vector}$$

Now we show that we can find such a solution

First consider

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f} = -\nabla^2 \phi + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{W}) = -\nabla^2 \phi + 0 = 4\pi D(\vec{r})$$

So $-\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi D(\vec{r})$ This is just Poisson's equation we saw in electrostatics
Solution when $\phi(\vec{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$\phi(\vec{r}) = \int d^3r' \frac{D(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$$

Coulomb-like
integral solution

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f} &= -\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \phi + \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{W}) = 0 - \nabla^2 \vec{W} + \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{W}) \\ &= 4\pi \vec{C}(\vec{r}) \end{aligned}$$

Choose a gauge in which $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{W} = 0$ (just like Coulomb gauge in magnetostatics)

$$\text{Then } -\nabla^2 \vec{W} = 4\pi \vec{C}(\vec{r})$$

$$\vec{W}(\vec{r}) = \int d^3r' \frac{\vec{C}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$$

just like solution for vector pot \vec{A} in magnetostatics

So we have constructed a solution

$$\vec{f}(\vec{r}) = -\vec{\nabla} \phi + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{W}$$

$$= -\vec{\nabla} \int d^3r' \frac{D(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} + \vec{\nabla} \times \int d^3r' \frac{\vec{C}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$$

$$\text{where } \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f} = 4\pi D \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f} = 4\pi \vec{C}$$

Note: For above solution to be well defined, the integrals must converge. They will converge if the "sources" $D(\vec{r})$ and $\vec{C}(\vec{r})$ are sufficiently "localized" in space, i.e. $D(\vec{r}) \rightarrow 0$, $\vec{C}(\vec{r}) \rightarrow 0$ sufficiently fast as $\vec{r} \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we show that the above solution is unique.

Suppose there was another solution \vec{g} such that

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{g} = 4\pi D \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{g} = 4\pi \vec{C}$$

Consider $\vec{h} \equiv \vec{f} - \vec{g}$ then

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{h} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{h} = 0$$

Can show that only such \vec{h} that also has $\vec{h}(\vec{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\vec{r} \rightarrow \infty$ is $\vec{h} \equiv 0$, so $\vec{g} = \vec{f}$ and solution is unique.

As a consequence of Helmholtz theorem we have also shown the following

- ① Any vector function \vec{F} can be written as a sum of a scalar and vector potential

$$\vec{F} = -\vec{\nabla} \phi + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{W}$$

or equivalently

② Any vector function \vec{F} can be written in terms of a curl free and a divergenceless part

$$\vec{F} = \vec{F}_{||} + \vec{F}_{\perp} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{array}{l} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}_{||} = 0 \quad \text{curl free} \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F}_{\perp} = 0 \quad \text{divergenceless} \end{array}$$

$$\text{where} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{F}_{||}(\vec{r}) = -\vec{\nabla} \Phi(\vec{r}) = -\vec{\nabla} \int \frac{d^3 r'}{4\pi} \frac{[\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{F}(\vec{r}')] }{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \\ \vec{F}_{\perp}(\vec{r}) = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{W}(\vec{r}) = \vec{\nabla} \times \int \frac{d^3 r'}{4\pi} \frac{[\vec{\nabla}' \times \vec{F}(\vec{r}')] }{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \end{array} \right.$$

where in above we used $\vec{\nabla}(\vec{r}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{F}(\vec{r}')$

$$\vec{C}(\vec{r}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{\nabla}' \times \vec{F}(\vec{r}')$$

~~where~~ $\vec{F}_{||}$ is called the longitudinal part of \vec{F}

\vec{F}_{\perp} is called the transverse part of \vec{F}

to understand the reason for these names, we need to consider the Fourier transform

Above can be generalized to situations where \vec{F} satisfies other boundary conditions, say has a specified value on a given boundary surface. One first replaces $\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}$ by the appropriate Green's function — see more to come!

Discussion regarding Fourier transforms

$$\vec{f}(\vec{r}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \vec{f}(\vec{k}) \quad \text{Fourier transf}$$

$$\vec{f}(\vec{k}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^3r e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \vec{f}(\vec{r}) \quad \text{inverse transf}$$

Some special cases well worth remembering

① Transform of Dirac function

$$\int d^3r e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_0) = e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_0}$$

$$\delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_0) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_0)}$$

or letting $\vec{r} \leftrightarrow \vec{k}$ in the above

$$\delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}_0) = \int \frac{d^3r}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{r}\cdot(\vec{k}-\vec{k}_0)}$$

② Transform of Coulomb potential $\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}$

We know

$$\nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} \right) = -4\pi \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')$$

Suppose $f(\vec{k}) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^3r e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}$ is the

Fourier transf of $\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}$

$$\text{Substitute } \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} f(\vec{k}) \\ \delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}') = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')} \end{cases}$$

into above Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} f(\vec{k}) = -4\pi \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')} f(\vec{k})$$

operates only on \vec{r}
so move inside integral

$$\nabla^2 e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}})$$

$$\textcircled{1} \vec{\nabla} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{x}_i i k_i e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} = i\vec{k} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \quad \text{where } \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3 = \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (i\vec{k} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}) = (i\vec{k}) \cdot (i\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} = -k^2 e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}$$

$$\text{so } \nabla^2 e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} = -k^2 e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}$$

Poisson equation gives

$$\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} (-k^2) f(\vec{k}) = -4\pi \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}'} f(\vec{k})$$

$$\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} [-k^2 f(\vec{k})] = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} [-4\pi e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}'} f(\vec{k})]$$

As is true for Fourier series, so it is true for Fourier transforms: If two functions are equal, then their Fourier transforms are equal.

$$\Rightarrow -k^2 f(\vec{k}) = -4\pi e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}'}$$

$$f(\vec{k}) = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}'}$$

\Rightarrow is the Fourier transform of $\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}$