

## W Asymmetry and PDF's - CDF and LHC Analyses



CMS Physics Week Monday 21 July 2008, 2:00-2:30 pm http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confld=27603

7/17/08 1

# Outline

 W Asymmetry and relations to PDFs (Better to look at W-/W+ versus y)

- New technique used in CDF : Unfolding the Wlepton Charge Asymmetry to extract the true W-/W+ charge asymmetry versus y. (also extract dσW/dy distributions so one can measure σZ(y)/σW(y) versus y.
- Implications of W Asymmetry measured at CDF to the LHC, PDFs and Deep Inelastic scattering.



- 1. At the LHC W asymmetry versus y yields the <u>absolute</u> value of d/u at small x.
- 2. At the Tevatron the W asymmetry versus y yields the ratio of d/u at large x1 to d/u at small x2.
- 3. The Z/W ratio versus y yields information on the <u>strange</u> <u>quark sea at small</u> x.
- 4. The above three pieces of information combined constrain PDFs so that we can use W and Z events as *luminosity candles*.

## pbar-p at the Tevatron





For pbar -p Tevatron

W-/W+ = ratio [d/u(x1)at larger x1 / d/u (x2) at smaller x2]

W-= Cos2 [ d(x1) u(x2)+ ubar(x1) dbar(x2) +s(x1) c(x2) +cbar(x1) sbar(x2)] W-= Sin2 [ d(x1) c(x2)+ ubar(x1) sbar(x2) +s(x1) u(x2) +cbar(x1) dbar(x2)] W+ =Cos2 [ u(x1)d(x2)+ dbar(x1)ubar(x2) + c(x1)s(x2) + sbar(x1)cbar(x2)] W+ =SIn2 [ u(x1)sx2)+dbar(x1)cbar(x2) + c(x1)d(x2) +sbar(x1)ubar(x2)]

$$egin{aligned} A(y_W) &pprox rac{u(x_1)d(x_2) - u(x_2)d(x_1)}{u(x_1)d(x_2) + u(x_2)d(x_1)} \ &\equiv rac{d(x_2)/u(x_2) - d(x_1)/u(x_1)}{d(x_2)/u(x_2) + d(x_1)/u(x_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of Cos2 and sin2 of Cabbibo angle

$$\frac{W}{W+} \approx \frac{d(x_1)/u(x_1)}{d(x_2)/u(x_2)}.$$

Note **x1** range at the Tevatron overlaps **x** range of muon deep inelastic scattering data on hydrogen and deuterium

## For p-p LHC

W-/W+ = absolute value of d/u(x) at small x

 $W_{-} = 0.949 \left[ \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x1}) \underline{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x2}) + \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x1}) \underline{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x2}) \right] \\ + 0.051 \left[ \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x1}) \underline{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x2}) + \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x2}) \right] \\ W_{+} = 0.949 \left[ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x1}) \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x2}) + \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x1}) \underline{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x2}) \right] \\ + 0.051 \left[ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x1}) \underline{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x2}) + \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x1}) \underline{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x2}) + \underline{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x1}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x2}) \right] \\ \text{In terms of Cos2 and sin2 of Cabbibo angle}$ 

For most of the region,  $\underline{d}(x) = \underline{u}(x) = \underline{q}(x)$ 

 $[d(x1) + d(x2)* \underline{q}(x1)/\underline{q}(x2)]$ 

W-/W+=

[u(x1) + u(x2)\*q(x1)/q(x2)]

Note: X1 at the LHC overlaps range of X2 at the Tevatron

At small y: x1=x2 q(x1)/q(x2) = 1  $W-/W+ = \sim [d/u (x1) + d/u (x2)]*0.5$ At larger y: q(x1)/q(x2) << 1 since x1 is large and x2 is small  $W-/W+ = \sim d/u (x1)$ 

#### **Parton Distribution Functions** 2 xf(x,q<sup>2</sup>) u<sub>val</sub>(x) : MRST2006NNLO 1.8 d<sub>val</sub>(x) : MRST2006NNLO 1.6 u<sub>sea</sub>(x) : MRST2006NNLO d<sub>sea</sub>(x) : MRST2006NNLO 1.4 gluon(x) : MRST2006NNLO 1.2 uv+usea LHC x2 LHC x1 DIS 0.8 Tevatron x1 Tevatron x2 0.6 0.4 dv+dsea 0.2 0 **10**<sup>-4</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> **10**<sup>-1</sup> Х d/u(x=0) ~1 d/u (x=1) ~0

7



High precision QCD at hadron colliders: Electroweak gauge boson rapidity distributions at NNLO. C. Anastasiou, L. J. Dixon, K. Melnikov, . Petriello. Phys.Rev.D69:094008,2004.

Unfolding W Charge Asymmetry at the Tevatron u quark carries more momentum than d quark



## Unfolding the W Charge Asymmetry at CDF

New analysis technique to measure the W production charge asymmetry at the *Fermilab Tevatron*" A. Bodek, Y-S Chung, B-Y Han, K. McFarland , E. Halkiadakis, *Phys. Rev. D* 77, 111301(*R*) (2008) ; *B.Y. Han (Rochester- CDF PhD 2008)- update Aug. 6.08* 





The larger the lepton Et, the closer is the lepton Asymmetry to the W asymmetry

**Unfolding the W Charge Asymmetry -**

use all the information (Et, MET, eta) in each event There are only 2 y\_w solutions for each event..

Analysis method: Number of W<sup>±</sup> vs y<sub>W</sub>

- Use  $ME_T$  for  $P_v$ : missing  $P_z$ !
- Use M<sub>W</sub> constraint to get 2 possible y<sub>W</sub> solutions
  - Weight each of them depending on:





### CDF 1 fm-1- W charge Asymmetry extracted from W decay lepton asymmetry (BY Han PhD Rochester-CDF 2008) updated



Note, I have corrected the CDF data to W=80.4 GeV

Note, I have corrected the CDF data to W=80.4 GeV for <Yw> each bin. So <u>this is my own analysis</u>. The official CDF data shown below is given for a different <Mw> for each y bin (because of the Et and MET cuts and detector acceptance.) One alternatively can calculate the theory prediction for <yw> and <Mw> in each bin and leave the CDF data as below.



| $ y_W $    | CDF data    |                       | CTEQ6.1M (CTEQ5L) |               |               |                           |  |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|
|            | $<  y_W  >$ | $A(y_W) \pm \sigma$   | $< y_W >$         | $< M_W >$     | $A(y_W)$      | $\frac{A_{data}}{A_{mc}}$ |  |
| 0.0 - 0.2  | 0.10        | $0.020 \pm 0.003$     | 0.10 (0.10)       | 81.04 (81.04) | 0.014 (0.016) | 1.42 (1.22)               |  |
| 0.2 - 0.4  | 0.30        | $0.057 \pm 0.004$     | 0.30 (0.30)       | 81.27 (81.27) | 0.046 (0.050) | 1.25 (1.15)               |  |
| 0.4 - 0.6  | 0.50        | $0.081 \pm 0.005$     | 0.50 (0.50)       | 81.37 (81.37) | 0.084 (0.085) | 0.96 (0.96)               |  |
| 0.6 - 0.8  | 0.70        | $0.117 \pm 0.006$     | 0.70 (0.70)       | 81.33 (81.33) | 0.118 (0.120) | 0.99 (0.97)               |  |
| 0.8 - 1.0  | 0.90        | $0.146 \pm 0.008$     | 0.90 (0.90)       | 81.13 (81.14) | 0.157 (0.158) | 0.93 (0.92)               |  |
| 1.0 - 1.2  | 1.10        | $0.204 \pm 0.009$     | 1.10 (1.10)       | 80.63 (80.63) | 0.196 (0.196) | 1.04 (1.04)               |  |
| 1.2 - 1.4  | 1.30        | $0.235 \pm 0.012$     | 1.30 (1.30)       | 80.92 (80.92) | 0.240 (0.238) | 0.98 (0.99)               |  |
| 1.4 - 1.6  | 1.50        | $0.261 \pm 0.015$     | 1.49 (1.50)       | 80.91 (80.92) | 0.282 (0.283) | 0.93 (0.92)               |  |
| 1.6 - 1.8  | 1.70        | $0.303 \pm 0.014$     | 1.70 (1.70)       | 80.79 (80.79) | 0.330 (0.335) | 0.92 (0.90)               |  |
| 1.8 - 2.05 | 1.92        | $0.355 \pm 0.014$     | 1.91 (1.92)       | 80.54 (80.55) | 0.387 (0.389) | 0.92 (0.91)               |  |
| 2.05 - 2.3 | 2.16        | $0.436 \pm 0.016$     | 2.16 (2.16)       | 80.09 (80.10) | 0.456 (0.456) | 0.96 (0.96)               |  |
| 2.3 - 2.6  | 2.42        | $0.537 \pm 0.018$     | 2.42 (2.42)       | 79.49 (79.49) | 0.545 (0.536) | 0.99 (1.00)               |  |
| 2.6 - 3.0  | 2.72        | $0.642 \ {\pm} 0.026$ | 2.71 (2.71)       | 78.70 (78.65) | 0.650 (0.623) | 0.99 (1.03)               |  |

The recent Dzero "lepton" asymmetry implies <u>an even lower</u> <u>W Asymmetry</u> and a <u>larger difference from MRST2006nnlo</u> <u>than implied by the CDF data</u> (plot from Thorne).





5. If we tune to Dzero "lepton" asymmetry data, we need much more tuning









Figure 1. Existing data for the EMC effect for nuclei near Fe [1, 2], along with two calculations by Benhar, Pandharipande and Sick [3]. The solid line is their binding-only calculation, while the dotted line includes their calculation of the contribution from nuclear pions. 18



#### How different is d/u in CTEQ6.1M nuclear from CTEQ6.1M, from MRST06 - And what change in d/u(x1) is needed to fit CDF data.



## Tuning PDFs to fit W-/W+ data at the Tevatron

- The W-asym data are very precise -more sensitive to d/u than F2d/F2p
- We can change the PDFs to fit the CDF data, but have a choice between changing d/u(x1) within the uncertainties of the DIS data, or changing d/u(x2) (keeping all other PDFs the same). Dzero data require a larger change.
- There are no precise measurements of d/u(x2) at small x. DIS and Drell-Yan data on Deuterium vs are used (but what about shadowing corrections?)
- PDFs assume a functional form constrained by (Regge x->0, d/u->1), (quark counting d/u->0 as x->1), number sum rules (~1 d<sub>valence</sub> and ~ 2<sub>uvalence</sub> with QCD) corrections to determine d<sub>valence</sub>.
- LHC W-/W+ directly measure d/u at small x
- Combined LHC and CDF data constrain d/u & are not sensitive to nuclear&shadowing corr.







Fixing MRST2006nnlo by either changing d/u(x2) - updated



## CDF data for d/u (x2) assuming MRST06NNLO d/u(x1) and CDF data for d/u (x1) assuming MRST06NNLO d/u(x2)









Compare d/u and 2s/(all sea) for several PDFs

For y=0 at 14 TeV (W production)

| y=0      | 0.005743 x   |            |           | only      | Q2   |
|----------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|
| d/u      | 2s/(all sea) |            |           | d/u Asym  |      |
| 0.927288 | 0.845418     | CTEQ6.1M   |           | 0.0377277 | 6400 |
| 0.940283 | 0.970942     | CTEQ6.6M   |           | 0.0307776 | 6400 |
| 0.939349 | 0.858893     | MRST2006N  | INLO      | 0.031274  | 6400 |
| 0.934616 | 0.857605     | MRST2004N  | ILO       | 0.033797  | 6400 |
| 0.933419 | 0.799886     | ZEUS2005-2 | ZJ        | 0.0344372 | 6400 |
| 0.936695 | 0.839626     | MRST2004F  | 4L0       | 0.0326873 | 6400 |
| 0.924448 | 0.683733     | GRV98LO    | no-c or b | 0.0392593 | 6400 |
| 0.881951 | 0.778952     | GRV94LO    | no-c or b | 0.062727  | 6400 |
| 0.898151 | 0.690727     | ALEKHIN02  | NNLO      | 0.0536568 | 6400 |

### W-/W+ : CTEQ6.1M simple formula vs full calculation. cteq6.1M : d/u (y=0, x=0.0056) ~ 0.93 (other pdfs 0.92-0.94)









# W Asym - conclusions

- New technique to unfold W-lepton eta distribution and extract the W+rapidity distributions allows measurements of W-/W+ (y) at the CDF and LHC.
- It will take some work to adapt the procedure from CDF to CMS.
- d/u(x1) at LHC may be less well known than assumed in current PDF fits. Current PDFs have d/y (y=0, x=0.0056) varying from 0.92 to 0.94. However, It is possible that 0.84 < d/u (y=0, x=0.0056) <0.96.</li>
- A combined analysis of CDF and CMS W-/W+ data versus y yields d/u(x) over a wide range of x1,x2, independent of nuclear and shadowing corrections in the deuteron.
- Consistency requirements between LHC/CDF data on d/u(x) and DIS and Drell Yan data on hydrogen and deuterium is *useful in testing models of nuclear effects and shadowing corrections in deuterium and heavy nuclei.*(evolve down to lower Q2). Better understanding of nuclear corrections in D2 would make existing muon, neutrino DIS and Drell-Yan data on H, D and nuclear targets more useful in global PDF analyses (e.g. smaller errors on u+d).

# Unfolding W y distributions also yields: $\sigma z/\sigma w(y)$ which is sensitive to strange and bottom sea.

Zu =0.37 [ u(x1)\*ubar(x2) + ubar(x1) u(x2)+c(x1) cbar(x2) +cbar(x1)c(x2) ] Zd =0.54 [d(x1)\*dbar(x2) + dbar(x1) d(x2) +s(x1) sbar(x2) +sbar(x1) s(x2)+b(x1) bbar(x2) +bbar(x1) b(x2)

Sbar starts 0.4 SU3 symmetric at low Q2 and becomes almost SU3 symmetric but not quite at LHC

CTEQ6.1M 3.50E+00 3.00E+00 2.50E+00 ubar 2.00E+00 dbar 1.50E+00 sbar 1.00E+00 5.00E-01 0.00E+00 0.00E+001.00E-02 2.00E-02 3.00E-02 4.00E-02 5.00E-02 6.00E-02

 $\frac{d\sigma(Z)}{dy} \propto 0.37 u(x_1) \bar{u}(x_2) + 0.54 d(x_1) \bar{d}(x_2)$ 

Y

# Unfolding W y distributions also yields: $\sigma z/\sigma w(y)$ which is sensitive to strange and bottom sea.

 $\frac{d\sigma(Z)}{du} \propto 0.37 u(x_1) \bar{u}(x_2) + 0.54 d(x_1) \bar{d}(x_2)$ 

Zu =0.37 [ u(x1)\*ubar(x2) + ubar(x1) u(x2)+c(x1) cbar(x2) +cbar(x1)c(x2) ] Zd =0.54 [d(x1)\*dbar(x2) + dbar(x1) d(x2) +s(x1) sbar(x2) +sbar(x1) s(x2)+b(x1) bbar(x2) +bbar(x1) b(x2)

> Z/W simple formula (PDF terms only) compare CTEQ6.1 strange sea with SU3 symmetric strange sea



38

- New technique to unfold W-lepton eta distribution and extract the W+- rapidity distributions allows measurements of W-/W+ and W/Z versus y at the CDF and LHC.
- Some information on the strange sea at large x has been measured in DIS neutrino charm production (dimuon events), and W+charm at the Tevatron. However, no data exist for the strange sea at very small x.
- W/Z data at the LHC provide new information on strange sea at very small x.
- The u distributions are better known (e.g. HERA e-p data) than the d,s quarks.
- W-/W+ and Z/W data constrain (d,s) PDFs so that we can use W and Z events as luminosity candles at the LHC.