



Update #3: potentialsIn electrodynamics the divergence of *B* is still zero, so
according to the Helmholtz theorem and its corollaries (#2, in
this case), we can still define a magnetic vector potential as
$$B = \nabla \times A \quad .$$
However, the curl of *E* isn't zero; in fact it hasn't been since
we started magnetoquasistatics. What does this imply for the
electric potential? Note that Faraday's law can be put in a
suggestive form:
$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times A) \quad , \text{ or}$$

$$\nabla \times \left(E + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = 0 \quad .$$

Physics 218, Spring 2004

2

3

21 January 2004

Potentials (continued)

Thus Corollary #1 to the Helmholtz theorem allows us to define a scalar potential for that last bracketed term:

$$\boldsymbol{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{V} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{E} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{V} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t}$$

Physics 218, Spring 2004

so we can still use the scalar electric potential in electrodynamics, but now both the scalar and the vector potential must be used to determine *E*.

21 January 2004



Our usual reference point for the scalar potential in electrostatics is $V \rightarrow 0$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$. For the vector potential in magnetostatics we imposed the condition $\nabla \cdot A = 0$.

- □ These reference points arise from exploitation of the builtin ambiguities in the static potentials: one can add any gradient to *A* and any constant to *V*, and still get the same fields.
- □ So we decided to add whatever was necessary to make the second-order differential equations in *A* and *V* look like Poisson's equation (i.e. easy to solve).

In electrodynamics these choices no longer produce that last result:

21 January 2004

Physics 218, Spring 2004

1







21 January 2004

Gauge transformationsIn electro- and magentostatics, we showed that we could
always choose our conventional reference points,
$$V \rightarrow 0$$
 as $r \rightarrow \infty$ $\nabla \cdot A = 0$ without placing any peculiar constraints on E or B . Now we
have two, more complicated equations to simplify, and a
more general approach is more fruitful.Consider performing a transformation on A and V : add a
vector to A and a scalar to V , giving *new* potential functions:
 $A' = A + \alpha$ $V' = V + \beta$

Physics 218, Spring 2004

7

Gauge transformations (continued) Now, we can't add just any old thing to the potentials; we need for the *fields* arising from the new potentials to be the same as those from the old: E' = EB' = B $\nabla V' = \nabla V + \nabla \beta$ $\nabla \times A' = \nabla \times A + \nabla \times \alpha$ $-E' - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} = -E - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \nabla \beta$ $\nabla \beta = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (A - A') = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$ ₩ $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\alpha} = 0$, or $\alpha = \nabla \lambda'$, where λ' is a scalar function of *r* and *t*. 21 January 2004 Physics 218, Spring 2004 8





























Force, energy, and momentum in electrodynamics (continued)		
SO	$\mathbf{F} = -q\left(\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c}\left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{\nabla}\right)\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{\nabla}\left(V - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{A}\right)\right)$	
It will be u	seful to introduce total time derivatives:	
$\frac{dA}{dA} =$	$\frac{\partial A}{\partial A} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dx} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \frac{dz}{dz}$	
dt	$\partial t \partial x dt \partial y dt \partial z dt$	
=	$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t} + \left(\boldsymbol{v}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \boldsymbol{v}_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \boldsymbol{v}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \boldsymbol{A} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t} + \left(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) \boldsymbol{A}$	
SO	$\boldsymbol{F} = -q \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{d\boldsymbol{A}}{dt} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left(\boldsymbol{V} - \frac{1}{c} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \right) \right)$	
21 January 2004	Physics 218, Spring 2004	1













