# **Production 2 WLS QC**

Howard Budd

University of Rochester

Rochester Meeting Oct 17 2008

### **WLS QC, Attenuation Length**

WLS Fiber, Attenuation at x=320





- Measured attenuation of fibers
- Dan has QCed Production 2 fiber
- Production 2 looks OK
- Looks like Prod 2 has a longer attenuation length than Prod 1

## Light at x=0

WLS Fiber, Light Extrapolated to x=0. Ave to 1 40R&D1, ave=0.925 rms=0.053 35 R&D2, ave=0.931 rms=0.061 proto, ave=0.955 rms=0.057 Trk 1, ave = 1.045 rms = 0.03130 Trk 2, ave=1.044 rms=0.048 Prod 1.ave=1.033 rms=0.039 25 Prod 2.ave=1.000 rms=0.024 ave = 1.009 ms = 0.052all 2015 10 5 0 0.7 1.2 0.80.911 13 Normalized Light



- Light Extrapolated to x=0
  - Prod 2 fibers are normalized to 1
  - Shows the amount of light accepted into the fiber
- No attempt to correct overall normalization between different sets of measurements
  - PMT, HV, scintillator & source are the same
- Looks like Prod 1 accepts more light than Prod 2

## Light At x=320

WLS Fiber, Light Extrapolated to x = 320 , Ave to 1





 Note that at x=320 Prod 1 & Prod 2 fibers seem to have the same amount light. These measurements claim the longer attenuation length of production 2 is being cancel by its accepting less light.

#### Attenuation vs batch # for Prod 1



• We saw this funny behavior of light vs batch number for Production 1 fibers

#### **Attenuation vs Batch**





13 Batches, do not see jump we saw before

Dan measured some fibers from the other batches at the same time

- 14 R&D 1
- 15 R&D 2
- 16 TRK 1

17 TKK 2, but the fibers were left out in fluorescent lights in the tunnel for about 61/2 months. Looks like the light damaged the fibers

Want to study more but I need to be sure I understand exactly what the data is for the files