## Separability Criterion for Continuous Variables

Following the outline of the Duan *et al* [1] criterion for inseparability, we show that momentum-position correlated photons of parametric down conversion are entangled or more correctly inseparable. A two-particle state is considered separable if and only if the density matrix of the total system can be written in the following decomposition:

$$\rho = \sum_{i} p_i(\rho_{i1} \otimes \rho_{i2}) \tag{1}$$

where  $\rho_{i1}$  and  $\rho_{i2}$  are states of particles one and two respectively and  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ . We define EPR operators

$$x_{12} = x_1 - x_2, (2)$$

$$p_{12} = p_1 + p_2 \tag{3}$$

where  $x_1, x_2$  and  $p_1, p_2$  are position and momentum variables of particles 1 and 2 respectively. The variance of  $x_{12}$  can be found by using the decomposition in eqn. 1, namely

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} = \langle (x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} + \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2 \tag{4}$$

where we recall

$$\langle (x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} = Tr[\sum_i p_i(\rho_{i1} \otimes \rho_{i2})x_{12}^2]$$
 (5)

$$= \sum_{i} p_{i} Tr[(\rho_{i1} \otimes \rho_{i2}) x_{12}^{2}]$$
(6)

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \langle (x_{12})^2 \rangle_i \tag{7}$$

This means that

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} = \sum_i p_i \left( \langle (x_{12})^2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2 \tag{8}$$

Moving on, we find

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} = \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (x_{12})^2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2 \tag{9}$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (x_1)^2 - x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1 + (x_2)^2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2 \tag{10}$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (x_2)^2 \rangle_i - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2 \tag{11}$$

We wish to write the variance of the two particle states in terms of the single particle variances so that it is straightforward for measurement. Then,

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} = \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (x_1)^2 \rangle_i - \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle (x_2)^2 \rangle_i - \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_1) \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_1) \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_1) \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_1) \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_1) \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_1) \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_1 \rangle_i^2 + \langle x_2 \rangle_i^2 - 2 \langle x_1 \rangle_i \langle x_2 \rangle_i \right) - \langle (x_{12}) \rangle_{\rho}^2 \tag{12}$$

$$=\sum_{i} p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_1) \rangle_i^2 \right) + \sum_{i} p_i \left( x_{12} \rangle_i^2 \right) - \left( \sum_{i} p_i (x_{12} \rangle_i) \right)^2$$
(13)

Using the Cauchy Schwartz inequality  $\sum_{i} p_i \sum_{i} p_i (x_{12} \rangle_i^2) - (\sum_{i} p_i (x_{12} \rangle_i))^2 \ge 0$ , then,

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \ge \sum_i p_i \left( \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_2) \rangle_i^2 \right)$$
(14)

A similar result is achieved for momentum entangled states,

$$\langle (\Delta p_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \ge \sum_i p_i \left( \langle (\Delta p_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta p_2) \rangle_i^2 \right)$$
(15)

Duan *et al* at this point summed the variances of the momentum-like and positionlike observables. This is a reasonable approach to take for squeezed states, because the quadrature observables have the same dimensions. However, this is not satisfactory for a true momentum-position analysis, because of the obvious difficulty with dimensions. Therefore, we take the product of the variances to determine the inequality, which ameliorates this problem and has a very satisfying result. The product of the momentum and position variances for separable states is then given by

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \langle (\Delta p_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \ge \left( \sum_{i} p_i (\langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i + \langle (\Delta x_2)^2 \rangle_i) \right) \left( \sum_{j} p_j (\langle (\Delta p_1)^2 \rangle_j + \langle (\Delta p_2)^2 \rangle_j) \right)$$
(16)

Down conversion is symmetric such that we can assume  $\langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i = \langle (\Delta x_2)^2 \rangle_i$  and  $\langle (\Delta p_1)^2 \rangle_i = \langle (\Delta p_2)^2 \rangle_i$ . This assumption, while it simplifies the calculation, is not necessary to achieve the *same* final result. In fact, the symmetric assumption, while valid under a simplified form of the downconversion of the Hamiltonian, is actually the minimum uncertainty state, which means that any other possibility only strengthens the inequality. Hence,

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \langle (\Delta p_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \ge 4 \left( \sum_i p_i (\langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i) \right) \left( \sum_j p_j (\langle (\Delta p_1)^2 \rangle_j) \right).$$
(17)

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i} p_i \langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i \right) \left(\sum_{j} p_j \langle (\Delta p_1)^2 \rangle_j \right) \ge \left(\sum_{i} p_i \sqrt{\langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i \langle (\Delta p_1)^2 \rangle_i} \right)^2 \tag{18}$$

and the uncertainty relation  $\langle (\Delta x_1)^2 \rangle_i \langle (\Delta p_1)^2 \rangle_i \geq \hbar^2/4$ , which is Heisenberg's uncertainty relation due to noncommuting observables  $[x_1, p_1] = i\hbar$  and is responsible for the diffraction limit of optical systems, leads to the separability bound

$$\langle (\Delta x_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \langle (\Delta p_{12})^2 \rangle_{\rho} \ge \hbar^2 \tag{19}$$

This bound represents the smallest product of variances which can be achieved by separable states. This is a sufficient condition for inseparability, so that any two-particle system which violates this bound is entangled under the assumption that quantum mechanics is complete.

- [1] L.M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2722 (2000)
- [2] C. Silberhorn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4267 (2001)