

# Derivation of mean molecular mass in terms of electron fraction

Luke Chamandy

July 27, 2020

We want to derive an expression for the mean molecular mass  $\mu$  in terms of the number of free electrons per nucleon  $f_e$ .

The mass fractions of hydrogen, helium and metals are given by

$$X = \frac{n_{\text{H}}m_{\text{H}}}{n_{\text{H}}m_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{He}}m_{\text{He}} + n_{\text{Z}}m_{\text{Z}}} = \frac{m_{\text{H}}}{M}, \quad (1)$$

where  $n_{\text{H}}$ ,  $n_{\text{He}}$  and  $n_{\text{Z}}$  are the number densities of hydrogen, helium and metals, and

$$M \equiv m_{\text{H}} + m_{\text{He}}n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}} + m_{\text{Z}}n_{\text{Z}}/n_{\text{H}}. \quad (2)$$

Similarly,

$$Y = \frac{m_{\text{He}}n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}}{M}, \quad Z = \frac{m_{\text{Z}}n_{\text{Z}}/n_{\text{H}}}{M}. \quad (3)$$

Now the mean molecular mass is given by

$$\mu = \frac{n_{\text{H}}m_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{He}}m_{\text{He}} + n_{\text{Z}}m_{\text{Z}}}{n_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{He}} + n_{\text{Z}} + n_{\text{e}}} = \frac{m_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}m_{\text{He}} + n_{\text{Z}}/n_{\text{H}}m_{\text{Z}}}{1 + n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{Z}}/n_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{e}}/n_{\text{H}}} \quad (4)$$

where  $n_{\text{e}}$  is the number density of free electrons.

The free electron fraction (number of free electrons per nucleon), as outputted by the MESA tabular equation of state (EoS) is given by

$$f_e = \frac{n_{\text{e}}}{n_{\text{H}} + 4n_{\text{He}} + An_{\text{Z}}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $A$  is the mean number of nucleons of metals. For Solar composition, we would expect  $A \approx 15.5$ , and we will adopt  $A = 16$ . Rearranging the above equation gives

$$n_{\text{e}} = (n_{\text{H}} + 4n_{\text{He}} + An_{\text{Z}})f_e. \quad (6)$$

Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{n_{\text{H}}m_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{He}}m_{\text{He}} + n_{\text{Z}}m_{\text{Z}}}{n_{\text{H}} + n_{\text{He}} + n_{\text{Z}} + (n_{\text{H}} + 4n_{\text{He}} + An_{\text{Z}})f_e} = \frac{m_{\text{H}} + m_{\text{He}}n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}} + m_{\text{Z}}n_{\text{Z}}/n_{\text{H}}}{1 + f_e + (1 + 4f_e)n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}} + (1 + Af_e)n_{\text{Z}}/n_{\text{H}}} \\ &= \frac{M}{1 + f_e + (1 + 4f_e)n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}} + (1 + Af_e)n_{\text{Z}}/n_{\text{H}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now rearranging Eq. (3), we find

$$\frac{n_{\text{He}}}{n_{\text{H}}} = \frac{MY}{m_{\text{He}}} = \frac{m_{\text{H}}}{m_{\text{He}}} \frac{Y}{X}, \quad \frac{n_{\text{Z}}}{n_{\text{H}}} = \frac{MZ}{m_{\text{Z}}} = \frac{m_{\text{H}}}{m_{\text{Z}}} \frac{Z}{X}. \quad (8)$$

Substituting these relations into Eq. (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{m_{\text{H}}/X}{1 + f_e + (1 + 4f_e)(m_{\text{H}}/m_{\text{He}})Y/X + (1 + Af_e)(m_{\text{H}}/m_{\text{Z}})Z/X} \\ &= m_{\text{H}} [(1 + f_e)X + (1 + 4f_e)Ym_{\text{H}}/m_{\text{He}} + (1 + Af_e)Zm_{\text{H}}/m_{\text{Z}}]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now, we can simplify further by putting

$$\frac{m_{\text{H}}}{m_{\text{He}}} \approx \frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{m_{\text{H}}}{m_{\text{Z}}} \approx \frac{1}{A}. \quad (10)$$

Then we obtain

$$\mu \simeq m_{\text{H}} [(1 + f_e)X + (1/4 + f_e)Y + (1/A + f_e)Z]^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

But

$$Y = 1 - X - Z, \quad (12)$$

so Eq. (11) can be rewritten as

$$\mu \simeq m_{\text{H}} [(1 + f_e)X + (1/4 + f_e)(1 - X - Z) + (1/A + f_e)Z]^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

After a little algebra, we obtain our final expression

$$\mu \simeq m_{\text{H}} [1/4 + 3X/4 - (1/4 - 1/A)Z + f_e]^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

For the RGB profile used in our simulations (profile 88), we have  $X = 0.69144$ ,  $Z = 0.02019$ , and we may use  $A \approx 16$ . The value of  $\mu$  obtained using Eq. (14) is very close to that obtained directly from MESA output of  $\mu$ . In the AstroBEAR simulation, it is assumed that  $X = 0.69$  and  $Z = 0.02$ , with  $f_e$  outputted from the EoS tables corresponding to those values. The resulting profile for  $\mu$  agrees quite closely with that of the MESA profile inside of  $r \approx 45 R_{\odot}$ . Outside of this, the pressure in the simulation differs from that of the MESA profile, so other parameters like  $\mu$  also deviate from MESA.