Exhaustive Versus Demand Analysis

- Exhaustive analysis: All facts at all points
- Optimization: Concentrate on inner loops
- Program-understanding tools: Only some facts are of interest

What Are Slices Useful For?

- Understanding Programs –What is affected by what?
- Restructuring Programs –Isolation of separate "computational threads"
- Program Specialization and Reuse -Slices = specialized programs
 - -Only reuse needed slices
- Program Differencing
 - -Compare slices to identify changes
- Testing
 - -What new test cases would improve coverage?
 - -What regression tests must be rerun after a change?

Line-Character-Count Program

```
void line_char_count(FILE *f) {
    int lines = 0;
    int chars;
    BOOL eof_flag = FALSE;
    int n;
    extern void scan_line(FILE *f, BOOL *bptr, int *iptr);
    scan_line(f, &eof_flag, &n);
    chars = n;
    while(eof_flag == FALSE) {
        lines = lines + 1;
        scan_line(f, &eof_flag, &n);
        chars = chars + n;
    }
    printf("lines = %d\n", lines);
    printf("chars = %d\n", chars);
}
```

Character-Count Program

```
did char_count(FILE *E) {
    int lines = 0;
    int chars;
    BOOL cof_flag = FALSE;
    int n;
    extern void scan_line(FLS *f, NEOL *hpts, int *ipts)
    scan_line(f, Scof_flag, Sn);
    chars = n;
    while(cof_flag == FALSE) {
        lines = lines + 1;
        scan_line(f, Scof_flag, Sn);
        chars = chars + n;
    }
}
```

```
printf("lines = %d\n", lines);
printf("chars = %d\n", chars);
```

Line-Character-Count Program

```
void line_char_count(FILE *f) {
    int lines = 0;
    int chars;
    BOOL eof_flag = FALSE;
    int n;
    extern void scan_line(FILE *f, BOOL *bptr, int *iptr);
    scan_line(f, &eof_flag, &n);
    chars = n;
    while(eof_flag == FALSE) {
        lines = lines + 1;
        scan_line(f, &eof_flag, &n);
        chars = chars + n;
    }
    printf("lines = %d\n", lines);
    printf("chars = %d\n", chars);
}
```

Line-Count Program

```
bid line_count(FILE *f) {
    int lines = 0;
    int chars;
    BOOL cof_flag = FALSE;
    int n;
    ortern void scan_line2(FILE *f, BOOL Typer, int *iptr);
    acan_line2(f, & Foof_flag, & En);
    chars = n;
    while(cof_flag == FALSE) {
        lines = lines + 1;
        scan_line2(f, & Foof_flag, & En);
        chars = chars + n;
    }
    printf("lines = id\n", lines);
    printf("chars = %d\n", chars);
```

Exhaustive Versus Demand Analysis • Demand analysis: -Does a given fact hold at a given point? -Which facts hold at a given point? -At which points does a given fact hold? • Demand analysis via CFL-reachability -single-source/single-target CFL-reachability -single-source/multi-target CFL-reachability -multi-source/single-target CFL-reachability	CFL-Reachability: Benefits • Algorithms -Exhaustive & demand • Complexity -Linear-time and cubic-time algorithms -PTIME-completeness -Variants that are undecidable • Complementary to -Equations -Set constraints -Types
	Two Styles of Analysis
in Program Analysis	 Whole program Entire program needed for analysis of any piece
from the sublime to the visionary	• Compositional
trom the sublime to the rialculous	~ can analyze partial or open" programs (libraries)

Alex Aiken Stanford University

$\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Intimately connected to solving complexity

- Leads to very different engineering issues
- This is poorly understood today

38

Algorithms and Engineering

- Algorithms: PTIME is good enough
- Engineering: linear space is essential
 - Must also be close to linear time
 - These algorithms are applied at large scales
 - Linux kernel 6.2MLOC

Constraints as Graphs



37

Solutions

- Solution size is potentially $O(n^2)$
 - May be the complete graph
- Solution time is $O(n^3)$
 - Each of $O(n^2)$ edges may be added in O(n) ways

41

43

- A major engineering issue
 - 1996: analyze 5 KLOC
 - 2002: analyze 6MLOC • now in production compilers

Optimization: Cycle Elimination

Variables in a cycle are all equivalent

 $X_1 \mu X_2 \dots \mu X_n \mu X_1$

• Optimization: collapse them into one variable



Discussion

- · Good techniques for cycle-elimination known
 - Does not change worst-case complexity
 - But makes 100X time difference
- Specific algorithmic/implementation techniques are critical to the success of decision procedures
 - Even "cheap" ones
 - Support for such research is important



Introduction to Software Correctness

Instructor: Chen Ding

Correctness/Reliability/Security

- Windows 98 crashed on live TV
 - <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z6b9ToIRbgM</u>
- A complete failure of Navy's "smart ship" in 1997
- ABI report 2004
 - <u>approximately 30% of all automotive warranty issues today</u> are software and silicon-related
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Failure rate measured by inputing random strings



Wheeler's Report

- Why Open Source Software / Free Software (OSS/FS, FLOSS, or FOSS)? Look at the Numbers!
 - <u>http://www.dwheeler.com/oss_fs_why.html</u>
- David A. Wheeler, 2007

Reliability

- under random inputs, frequency of reboots, time to fix hardware/system faults
- code defects per KSLOC
 - memory leaks, null pointer dereference, out of bound array access, uninitialized variables
- maintainability
- modularity
 - cost of redesign

Wheeler's Report (cont'd)

Security

- · cost of "hacker insurance" for Windows vs. Unix/Linux
- number of installations compromised
- time before compromised on Internet
- 4 minute for unpatched Windows XP
- vulnerability database
- faster response to advisories
- survey of developers
- frequency of attacks, prevalence of viruses
- expert recommendations
- adoption by Homeland security e.g.

Other factors

 performance, scalability, total cost of ownership, legal, social, moral etc

47

The Static Driver Verifier Research Platform

Thomas Ball¹, Ella Bounimova¹, Vladimir Levin², Rahul Kumar², and Jakob Lichtenberg² ¹Microsoft Research ²Microsoft Windows

http://research.microsoft.com/slam/



API SLIC Rule



SLAM2 Verification Engine

SLAM 2.0 released with SDV 2.0, part of Windows 7 WDK

Parameter for WDM drivers	SDV 2.0 (SLAM2)	SDV 1.6 (SLAM1)
False defects	0.4% (2/512)	19.7% (31/157)
Give-up results	3.2% (187/5727)	6% (285/4692)

SLAM Status

· 2000-2001

- foundations, algorithms, prototyping
- papers in CAV, PLDI, POPL, SPIN, TACAS

• March 2002

- Bill Gates review
- May 2002
 - Windows committed to hire two people with model checking background to support Static Driver Verifier (SLAM+driver rules)
- July 2002
 - running SLAM on 100+ drivers, 20+ properties

September 3, 2002

- made initial release of SDV to Windows (friends and family)
- April 1, 2003

- made wide release of SDV to Windows (any internal driver developer)





c2bp: Predicate Abstraction for C Programs

Given

- P : a C program
- F = {e₁,...,e_n}
 - -each e_i a pure boolean expression

-each ei represents set of states for which ei is true

Produce a boolean program B(P,F)

- · same control-flow structure as P
- boolean vars $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ to match $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$
- properties true of B(P,F) are true of P



Bebop

- Model checker for boolean programs
- Based on CFL reachability
 -[Sharir-Pnueli 81] [Reps-Sagiv-Horwitz 95]
- Iterative addition of edges to graph

 "path edges": <entry,d1> → <v,d2>
 "summary edges": <call,d1> → <ret,d2>



Symbolic CFL reachability

- Partition path edges by their "target"
 - $PE(v) = \{ \langle d1, d2 \rangle \mid \langle entry, d1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle v, d2 \rangle \}$
- What is <d1,d2> for boolean programs?A bit-vector!
- What is PE(v)?
 - A set of bit-vectors
- Use a BDD (attached to v) to represent PE(v)



Observations about SLAM

- · Automatic discovery of invariants
 - driven by property and a finite set of (false) execution paths
 predicates are <u>not</u> invariants, but observations
 - abstraction + model checking computes inductive invariants (boolean combinations of observations)
- A hybrid dynamic/static analysis

 newton executes path through C code symbolically
 - c2bp+bebop explore all paths through abstraction
- A new form of program slicing – program code and data not relevant to property are dropped
 - non-determinism allows slices to have more behaviors

Scaling SLAM

- Largest driver we have processed has ~60K lines of code
- Largest abstractions we have analyzed have several hundred boolean variables
- · Routinely get results after 20-30 iterations
- Out of 672 runs we do daily, 607 terminate within 20 minutes

What is hard?

Abstracting

-from a language with pointers (C)

- -to one without pointers (boolean programs)
- All side effects need to be modeled by copying (as in dataflow)
- Open environment problem

What worked well?

- · Specific domain problem
- · Safety properties
- · Shoulders & synergies
- · Separation of concerns
- Summer interns & visitors
 - Sagar Chaki, Todd Millstein, Rupak Majumdar (2000)
 - Satyaki Das, Wes Weimer, Robby (2001)
 - Jakob Lichtenberg, Mayur Naik (2002)
 - Giorgio Delzanno, Andreas Podelski, Stefan Schwoon
- Windows Partners
 - Byron Cook, Vladimir Levin, Abdullah Ustuner

Future Work

- Concurrency
 - SLAM analyzes drivers one thread at a time
 - Work in progress to analyze interleavings between threads
- Rules and environment-models
 - Large scale development or rules and environment-models is a challenge
 - How can we simplify and manage development of rules?
- Modeling C semantics faithfully
- · Theory:
 - Prove that SLAM will make progress on any property and any program
 - Identify classes of programs and properties on which SLAM will terminate