# Simulating Shock Triggered Star Formation with AstroBEAR2.0

Shule Li<sup>†</sup>, Adam Frank and Eric Blackman. Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester NY. <sup>†</sup>shuleli@pas.rochester.edu

## Introduction

Star formation can be triggered by the compression from shocks running over stable clouds. Triggered star formation is a favored explanation for the traces of SLRI's in our solar system. We use the parallel AMR code AstroBEAR2.0<sup>[6]</sup> to study the shock-induced triggering of a stable Bonnor-Ebert cloud following, for the first time, the longterm evolution of the flow after a star has formed.



## Initial Setup

We assume isothermal  $\gamma = 1.0$ , and an initial marginally stable Bonnor-Ebert sphere (tested to be stable within several sound crossing time) . The cloud (about 1  $M_{\odot}$ ) has a radius of 0.058pc, central density  $6.3 \times 10^{-19} g/cc$  and edge density of  $3.6 \times 10^{-20} g/cc$ , with temperature 10K inside. The ambient medium satisfies pressure balancing at the cloud edge, with density  $3.6 \times 10^{-22} g/cc$  and temperature of 1000K. The incoming shock has a action through  $4t_{cc}$  time. Krumholz the rotational cases presented here. accretion<sup>[4]</sup> is chosen as our sink





# We explore:

• Non-rotating clouds, and the disruption of the protostellar envelope is by the post-shock flow terminating significant mass accretion.

• Rotating clouds, the formation of accretion disks and subsequent

strong interaction of the disk and the post-shock flow.

• The evolution, in all cases, of important metrics for the evolution such as the stellar mass, accretion rate, mixing.



Mach number of either 1.5 or 3.16. particle accretion algorithm. With The cloud crushing time by the initial rotation added to the cloud, shock is  $t_{cc} \approx 276 kyrs$ . The free-fall we identify  $K = \Omega \times t_{ff}$  as a paramtime of the cloud is  $t_{ff} \approx 84 kyrs$ . eter characterizing the importance We simulate the shock-cloud inter- of the rotational energy: K = 0.1 for

# Initial Formation of the Star

For  $t \leq t_{cc}$ , the transmitted shock traverses and compresses the cloud. With self-gravity, the compressed cloud becomes Jean's unstable. As material continuously falls onto the compressed core, a star will eventually form accreting material from the "envelope" until this reservoir of material is swept away by the postshock flow. For all cases presented,



at around  $t_{cc}$ . Based on the rotational property of the initial cloud, the post-star-forming evolution can be drastically different.

## A Closer Look at Disk Formation



The 3D rendering of the case where the rotational axis is aligned with the shock normal, with Mach = 1.5and K = 0.1 at  $2t_{cc}$ . The disk is the pancake shaped structure surrounding the star (marked in the image). As the evolution progresses disk material is ablated by the postshock flow (see "Subsequent Star Evolution" section).

Initial Rotational Axis Aligned h Shock Normal

#### Subsequent Star Evolution



#### Star Mass, Accretion Rate, Mixing Ratio





Star evolution and disk formation for the post-shock star for different rotational cases under M = 1.5, K = 0.1. Disk is formed only when there is an initial rotation. Note envelope disruption, disk formation and disk-post-shock flow interaction.

• The lower the shock speed, the later the formation and the greater the mass of the star that forms. With M = 1.5, 90% of the initial cloud mass ends up in the formed star.

• Initial cloud rotation results in the formation of disk surrounding the formed star which is subsequently "harassed" by the post-shock flow. • The accretion rate drops as the post-shock flow disrupts the envelope. • The mixing ratio of wind material onto the star is about  $20 \sim 30$  per mil in our simulations. This is enhanced with an initial rotation.

#### References

[1] A.P. Boss, 2010, ApJ, 708, 1268 [2] A.P. Boss, S.A. Keiser, 2010, ApJ, 717, 1 [3] A.P. Boss, S.A. Keiser, 2012, ApJ, 756, 9 [4] M.R. Krumholz, C.F.Mckee, 2006, ApJ, 638, 369 [5] C. Federrath, R. Banerjee, P.C. Clark, R.S. Klessen, 2010, ApJ, 713, 269 [6] Cunningham A. J. et al., 2009, ApJS, 182, 519

#### Acknowledgements

Conclusions

Financial support for this project was provided by the Space Telescope Science Institute grants HST-AR-11251.01-A and HST-AR-12128.01-A; by the National Science Foundation under award AST-0807363; by the Department of Energy under award DE-SC0001063; and by Cornell University grant 41843-7012.