

## Lorentz force in relativistic form

$$\frac{dP^\mu}{ds} = K^\mu$$

what is the  $K^\mu$  that represents the Lorentz force?  
And how can we write it in a Lorentz covariant way?

$K^\mu$  should depend on the fields  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and on the particle's trajectory  $\vec{x}_\mu$

$$\text{as } \vec{v} \rightarrow 0 \quad \vec{K} = g \vec{E} \quad (\text{since magnetic force} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \vec{v} \rightarrow 0)$$

$K^\mu$  can't depend directly on  $\vec{x}_\mu$  as the force should be independent of where one puts the origin of the coordinates  
So  $K^\mu$  can depend only on derivatives  $\dot{x}_\mu, \ddot{x}_\mu, \dots$ , etc.

As  $\vec{v} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\vec{K}$  does not depend on the acceleration, so  
 $\vec{K}$  does not depend on  $\ddot{x}_\mu$  or higher derivatives.

So  $K^\mu$  depends only on  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\dot{x}_\mu$

We need to form a 4-vector out of  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\dot{x}_\mu$   
that is linear in the fields  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and proportional to the charge  $q$ . (since we want superposition to hold)

The only possibility is

$$K^\mu = q f(\overset{\circ}{x}_\mu^2) F_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}_\nu$$

where  $f(\dot{x}_\mu^2)$  is some function of  $\dot{x}_\mu^2$ .

But  $\dot{x}_\mu^2 = -c^2$  is a constant, so  $f(\dot{x}_\mu^2)$  is a constant. That constant,  $f(\dot{x}_\mu^2) = 1$ , is determined by the requirement that  $\vec{K} = g \vec{E}$  as  $\vec{v} \rightarrow 0$ .

So we have

$$K_\mu = g F_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}_\nu$$

Let's check what this gives for the ordinary 3-force

$$\vec{F} = \frac{1}{g} \vec{K}$$

ith component  $F_i = \frac{1}{g} K_i = \frac{g}{g} \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 F_{ij} \dot{x}_j + F_{i4} \dot{x}_4 \right)$

sub in for  $F_j$  in terms of  $\vec{A}$   
use  $x_4 = ic\gamma$   $= \frac{g}{g} \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \dot{x}_j + i E_i (ic\gamma) \right)$

$$\text{since } F_{i4} = -\frac{i E_i}{c}$$

Now  $\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j} = \epsilon_{ijk} B_k$

proof:  $\epsilon_{ijk} B_k = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{kem} \frac{\partial A_m}{\partial x_e}$  (using  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  notation  
to take  $\partial \times \vec{A}$ )

$$= (\delta_{ie} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{je}) \frac{\partial A_m}{\partial x_e}$$

$$= \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j}$$

use  $\dot{x}_j = \gamma v_j$

$$\text{so } F_i = \frac{g}{g} \sum_{j=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} B_k \gamma v_j + \frac{g}{g} E_i \gamma$$

$$= g \sum_{j=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} B_k v_j + g E_i$$

$$= g E_i + g (\vec{v} \times \vec{B})_i$$

$$\text{so } \boxed{\vec{F} = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v} \times \vec{B}} = \frac{1}{c} \vec{K}$$

The Lorentz force has the same form in all inertial frames.  
No relativistic modification is needed

## Relativistic Larmor's formula

non relativistic result was

$$P = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{q}{c^3} \dot{a}^2$$

total power radiated by  
particle with acceleration  $\ddot{a}$   
assuming  $v \ll c$

Now consider a particle moving with any speed  $v$ .

Consider the inertial frame of reference in which that particle is instantaneous at rest. Call this frame  $K$ . The velocity in this frame is thus  $\vec{v} = 0$ , and the charge is at the origin ~~at rest~~  $\vec{r} = 0$ .

The power radiated, as seen in the frame  $K$ , is then exactly

$$\overset{\circ}{P} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{q}{c^3} \overset{\circ}{a}^2$$

where  $\overset{\circ}{a}$  is the acceleration  
in frame  $K$ .

This result is exact because as  $v/c \rightarrow 0$  all terms higher than the electric dipole term will vanish.

What we need to do is to find the way to Lorentz transform the result  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  and find its value in any other frame of reference, in which the particle is moving with any velocity  $\vec{v}$ .

Consider the momentum-energy 4-vector describing the total momentum and total energy of the electromagnetic fields ~~of the charge~~ radiated by the charge.

in frame  $\vec{k}$  we can write this as

$$(\overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM}, \frac{i\overset{\circ}{E}}{c})$$

$$\text{Now } \overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM} = \int d^3r \epsilon_0 \overset{\circ}{\vec{E}} \times \overset{\circ}{\vec{B}}.$$

But since the radiated fields are in the radial direction  $\overset{\circ}{\vec{r}}$ , when we integrate over all space we find  
 $\overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM} = 0$ .

Alternatively you have from homework, for a charge moving with small velocity  $\vec{v}$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{U}{c^2} \vec{v}$   
So when  $\vec{v} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM} \rightarrow 0$ .

So in frame  $\vec{k}$  the momentum energy 4-vector is

$$(0, \frac{i\overset{\circ}{E}}{c})$$

In a new frame of reference  $K$  that moves with velocity  $-\vec{v}$  with respect to  $\vec{k}$  (in frame  $K$ , the charge is moving with velocity  $\vec{v}$ )

the energy in frame  $K$  is obtained by the transformation law for 4-vectors

$$\frac{i\overset{\circ}{E}}{c} = \gamma \left( \frac{i\overset{\circ}{E}}{c} + i\vec{v} \cdot \overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM} \right)$$

where  $\overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM1}$  is component of  $\overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM}$  in direction of  $\vec{v}$ . But  $\overset{\circ}{\vec{P}}_{EM} = 0$

$$\text{So } \frac{i\dot{\epsilon}}{c} = \gamma \frac{i\dot{\epsilon}^0}{c} \Rightarrow \dot{\epsilon} = \gamma \dot{\epsilon}^0$$

similarly, if we take the origins of  $K$  and  $K'$  to coincide at the time when we are measuring the radiated power, then time transforms as

$$t = \gamma t^0 + \frac{v}{c^2} \gamma \dot{x}_1^0 \quad \text{where } \dot{x}_1^0 \text{ is position of charge in direction of } \vec{v}$$

But charge is at origin in  $K'$  so  $\dot{x}_1^0 = 0$

$$\text{So } t = \gamma t^0 \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{since charge is not moving in } K' \\ dt^0 \text{ is really the proper time } ds, \text{ so} \\ \text{this is the familiar } \frac{dt}{\gamma} = ds \end{array} \right)$$

The Power radiated in frame  $K$  is then

$$P = \frac{d\dot{\epsilon}}{dt} = \frac{\gamma d\dot{\epsilon}^0}{\gamma dt^0} \quad \text{transforming } \dot{\epsilon} = \gamma \dot{\epsilon}^0$$

$$= \frac{d\dot{\epsilon}^0}{dt^0} = \dot{P}$$

so the total radiated power is a Lorentz invariant scalar!

$$\boxed{P = \dot{P} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} q \frac{\ddot{a}^2}{c^3}}$$

where  $\ddot{a}$  is acceleration of charge in its rest frame

We would like to rewrite  $P$  in a way that makes no explicit reference to the frame  $K$ .

i.e. we want to write  $\ddot{a}^2$  in terms of a Lorentz invariant scalar that may be evaluated in any frame  $K$ .

Consider the 4-acceleration

$$\alpha_\mu = \frac{d u_\mu}{ds} = \gamma \frac{d u_\mu}{dt} \quad \text{since } ds = dt/\gamma$$

$$\text{use } u_\mu = (\gamma \vec{v}, i\gamma c)$$

$$\vec{\alpha} = r \frac{d}{dt} (\gamma \vec{v}) = \gamma \vec{a} + \vec{v} \frac{d\gamma}{dt}$$

$$\alpha_4 = \gamma i c \frac{d\gamma}{dt}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we need } \frac{d\gamma}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}} \right) = -\frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c^2} \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} \\ &= +\frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c^2} \gamma^3 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\vec{\alpha} = \gamma^2 \vec{a} + \gamma^4 \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c^2} \right) \vec{v}$$

$$\alpha_4 = \gamma^4 i \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)$$

$$\alpha_\mu = \gamma^4 \left( \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c^2} \right) \vec{v} + \frac{\vec{a}}{\gamma^2} \rightarrow i \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right) \right)$$

in frame  $K^0$ ,  $\vec{v}=0$  ad  $\gamma=1$ , so

$$\overset{0}{\alpha}_\mu = (\overset{0}{\vec{a}}, 0) \quad \text{and so} \quad \overset{0}{a}^2 = \overset{0}{\alpha}_\mu^2 \quad \text{Lorentz invariant scalar}$$

So now we can write the relativistic Larmor formula

$$P = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{q}{c^3} \overset{0}{a}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{q}{c^3} \overset{0}{\alpha}_\mu^2 \quad \text{in any frame K}$$

In a general frame K,

$$\alpha_\mu^2 = (\vec{\alpha})^2 + \alpha^2$$

$$= \gamma^8 \left[ \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c^2} \right)^2 v^2 + \frac{a^2}{\gamma^4} + 2 \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c^2} \right) \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{\gamma^2} \right) - \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= \gamma^8 \left[ - \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) + 2 \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \frac{a^2}{\gamma^4} \right]$$

$$= \gamma^8 \left[ \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right) + \frac{a^2}{\gamma^4} \right]$$

$$= \gamma^8 \left[ \frac{a^2}{\gamma^4} + \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right]$$

$$\alpha_\mu^2 = \gamma^4 \left[ a^2 + \gamma^2 \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)^2 \right]$$

Note: as  $v \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$

and we get  $\alpha_\mu^2 = a^2$  as we must.

So power radiated is

$$P = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{8}{c^3} \gamma^4 \left[ a^2 + \gamma^2 \left( \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a}}{c} \right)^2 \right]$$

Examples:

① For a charge accelerating in linear motion

(such as in a linear particle accelerator such as SLAC)

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a} = va \text{ since } \vec{v} \text{ and } \vec{a} \text{ are colinear}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{8}{c^3} \gamma^4 \left[ a^2 + \gamma^2 \frac{v^2 a^2}{c^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{8}{c^3} \gamma^4 a^2 \left[ 1 + \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right]$$

$$1 + \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2} = 1 + \frac{v^2/c^2}{1 - v^2/c^2} = \frac{1}{1 - v^2/c^2} = \gamma^2$$

$$P = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{q}{c^3} a^2 \gamma^6$$

relativistic result increased  
by factor  $\gamma^6$  compared to  
non-relativistic result

- ② For a charge accelerating in circular motion  
(such as in a synchrotron)

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{a} = 0 \text{ since } \vec{v} \perp \vec{a}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{2}{3} \frac{q}{c^3} a^2 \gamma^4$$

relativistic result increased  
by factor  $\gamma^4$  compared to  
non-relativistic result