

Momentum Conservation

want similar conservation law for mechanical & electromagnetic momentum

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (p_{mi} + p_{EBi}) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T}_i \quad i=x,y,z$$

p_{mi} = i^{th} component of a ^{mechanical} momentum density

p_{EBi} = i^{th} component of electromagnetic momentum density

\vec{T}_i = -flux density of i^{th} component of momentum density
(or "current")

Since \vec{T}_i is a vector with 3 components, and there are three such vectors, for $i=x,y,z$, we will see that these 3 vectors form the components of a 3×3 tensor (ie matrix)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{mechanical momentum density} \\ \text{given by Newton's Law} \end{array} \right\} \frac{\partial \vec{p}_m}{\partial t} = \vec{f} = g\vec{E} + \vec{j} \times \vec{B}$$

$$\text{force density } \vec{f} = g\vec{E} + \vec{j} \times \vec{B} = \epsilon_0 (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) \vec{E} + \left(\mu_0 \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right) \times \vec{B}$$

Now apply vector algebra + Maxwell's eqn (see text)
to manipulate into the form sec 8.2.2

$$\vec{f} = \epsilon_0 [(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) \vec{E} + (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{E}] + \frac{1}{\mu_0} [(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) \vec{B} + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B}] - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} (\epsilon_0 E^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B^2) - \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})$$

~~(7.91)~~
(8.15)

The above looks like mess, but it simplifies if one introduces the following 3×3 matrix, known as the "Maxwell Stress Tensor"

$$T_{ij} = \epsilon_0 (E_i E_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} E^2) + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (B_i B_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} B^2)$$

$$i = x, y, z \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

$$\vec{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_0 (E_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} E^2) + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (B_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} B^2) & \epsilon_0 E_x E_y + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_x B_y & \epsilon_0 E_x E_z + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_x B_z \\ \epsilon_0 E_x E_y + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_x B_y & \epsilon_0 (E_y^2 - \frac{1}{2} E^2) + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (B_y^2 - \frac{1}{2} B^2) & \epsilon_0 E_y E_z + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_y B_z \\ \epsilon_0 E_x E_z + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_x B_z & \epsilon_0 E_y E_z + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_y B_z & \epsilon_0 (E_z^2 - \frac{1}{2} E^2) + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (B_z^2 - \frac{1}{2} B^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{ij} = T_{ji} \Rightarrow T \text{ is symmetric}$$

$$(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \begin{pmatrix} T_{xx} & T_{xy} & T_{xz} \\ T_{yx} & T_{yy} & T_{yz} \\ T_{zx} & T_{zy} & T_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T})_j = \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} T_{ij} = \sum_i \left[\epsilon_0 \left(\frac{\partial E_i}{\partial x_i} E_j + E_i \frac{\partial E_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial E^2}{\partial x_i} \delta_{ij} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_i} B_j + B_i \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B^2}{\partial x_i} \delta_{ij} \right) \right]$$

$$(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T}) = \epsilon_0 \left[(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) \vec{E} + (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{E} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} E^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) \vec{B} + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} B^2 \right]$$

$$= \vec{f} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{E} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{f} + \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{S}}{\partial t}$$

$$\vec{f} = \frac{\partial \vec{p}_m}{\partial t} = -\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{S}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T}$$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\vec{p}_m + \epsilon_0 \mu_0 \vec{S}] = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T}$ this is the desired conservation law for momentum

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\epsilon_0 \mu_0 \vec{S} = \vec{p}_{EM}} \text{ electromagnetic momentum density}$$

$-\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T}$ = current or flux

$-T_{ij}$ is i^{th} component of current, of j^{th} component of electromagnetic momentum density

ie the vector $\begin{pmatrix} T_{xx} \\ T_{yx} \\ T_{zx} \end{pmatrix}$ is the current for
 x-component \vec{p}_{EMx} of E-M momentum

integral form: $\int_{Vol} d^3r \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{p}_m + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{p}_{EB} \right] = \frac{d}{dt} \underbrace{\int_{Vol} (\vec{p}_m + \vec{p}_{EB})}_{\text{total mechanical}}$

$$= \int_{Vol} d^3r \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{T} = \oint_S \vec{da} \cdot \vec{T}$$

\rightarrow flux of field momentum out through surface S bounding Vol

+ electromagnetic field momentum contained in Vol

or we can write

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\text{vol}} d^3r \vec{P}_{\text{mech}} = \frac{d \vec{P}_{\text{mech}}}{dt} = - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\text{vol}} d^3r \vec{P}_{\text{ER}} + \oint d\vec{a} \cdot \vec{T}$$

$$\frac{d \vec{P}_{\text{mech}}}{dt} = - \frac{d \vec{P}_{\text{EB}}}{dt} + \underbrace{\oint d\vec{a} \cdot \vec{T}}$$

total electromagnetic force on the volume

$$\vec{F}_{\text{EB}} = \frac{d \vec{P}_{\text{mech}}}{dt}$$

For a situation where \vec{E} and \vec{B} are constant in time,

$$\frac{d \vec{P}_{\text{EB}}}{dt} = 0 \quad \text{and so}$$

when doing the surface integral $d\vec{a} = d\vec{n}$, the normal \vec{n} points outwards of vol

$$\frac{d \vec{P}_{\text{mech}}}{dt} = \oint d\vec{a} \cdot \vec{T} \leftarrow \text{sw's total electromagnetic force on the volume}$$

This is why \vec{T} is called the Maxwell stress tensor

If it like a pressure acting on the walls of the volume.

Numerically compute $\epsilon_0 \mu_0$, find $\epsilon_0 \mu_0 = \frac{1}{c^2}$ with $c = \text{speed of light}$

$$\vec{S} = c^2 \vec{P}_{EB}$$

\vec{P} momentum density
 \vec{J} energy current

Suppose energy current is made of "particles that travel with velocity \vec{v} . Then $\vec{S} = \vec{v} u_{EB}$ u_{EB} is energy density

$$u_{EB} = c p_{EB} \quad = \text{energy-momentum relation for photons.}$$

Also can do same for angular momentum

$$\vec{L}_{EB} = \vec{r} \times \vec{P}_{EB} = \epsilon_0 \vec{r} \times (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})$$

= angular momentum density
contained in \vec{E} and \vec{B} fields

see Griffiths Sec 8.2-4

Magnetic monopoles

Sec 7.3.4

Maxwell's equations: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

Maxwell's equations would have a much more symmetric look to them, if one imagined that there were such things as magnetic monopoles (ie. magnetic charges)

$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ is purely expt result. Suppose we found a magnetic monopole, so that we would now have

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = \mu_0 \eta$$

η = volume density of magnetic charge.

$\eta = \sum_i g_i S(\vec{r}_i)$
for point monopoles

A point magnetic monopole would produce a magnetic field $\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{g}{r^2} \hat{r}$

There would be conservation law of magnetic charge $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{k} = -\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$

where \vec{k} is the magnetic charge current density.

Then Faraday's Law would have to be fixed, like Maxwell fixed Ampere's Law

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}) = \partial \vec{B} / \partial t$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}) = 0 + \frac{\partial \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = -\mu_0 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{k}$$

New Faraday's law would be $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\mu_0 \vec{k} - \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$

Now Maxwell's equations
would look symmetric!

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\mu_0 \vec{k} - \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = \mu_0 \eta$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

Despite the elegant appearance of Maxwell's Eqs of un. allow magnetic monopoles, no experiment has ever convincingly found them. Nevertheless Dirac gave a very interesting theoretical argument to show that if monopoles existed, one could explain why charge is quantized!

Griffiths ~~8.19~~ - Dirac's argument of why electric charge is quantized.

$$\vec{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\vec{r}}{r^3}$$

\vec{E} from pt electric charge at the origin $\vec{r}=0$

$$\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0 q}{4\pi} \frac{\hat{r}_1}{r_1^2} = \frac{\mu_0 q}{4\pi} \frac{\vec{r}_1}{r_1^3} = \frac{\mu_0 q}{4\pi} \frac{\vec{r}-\vec{d}}{|\vec{r}-\vec{d}|^3} \quad \vec{r}_1 = \vec{r}-\vec{d}$$

\vec{B} from pt magnetic monopole at $\vec{r}=\vec{d}$
angular momentum density

$$\vec{L}_{EB} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}_{EB} = \epsilon_0 \vec{r} \times (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})$$

$$= \epsilon_0 \frac{\mu_0 q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{d}|^3} \vec{r} \times (\vec{r} \times (\vec{r}-\vec{d}))$$

$$= -\frac{\mu_0 q}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{r^3 |\vec{r}-\vec{d}|^3} \vec{r} \times (\vec{r} \times \vec{d})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{r} \times (\vec{r} \times \vec{d}) &= \vec{r}(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{d}) - \vec{d}(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{r}) \\ &= \vec{r} r d \cos\theta - \vec{d} r^2 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{alternatively, } \vec{r} \times \vec{d} = -r d \sin\theta \hat{\phi} \\ \vec{r} \times (\vec{r} \times \vec{d}) = r^2 d \sin\theta \hat{\phi} \end{array} \right\} \quad (\vec{p}_{EM} \text{ is in } \hat{\phi} \text{ direction})$$

By rotation symmetry about \hat{z} axis, total angular momentum $\vec{L}_{EB} = \int d^3r \vec{L}_{EB}$ can have only non zero component along \hat{z} .

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z} \cdot (\vec{r} \times (\vec{r} \times \vec{d})) &= (\hat{z} \cdot \vec{r}) r d \cos\theta - (\hat{z} \cdot \vec{d}) r^2 \\ &= (r \cos\theta) r d \cos\theta - d r^2 \\ &= r^2 d \cos^2\theta - r^2 d = -r^2 d \sin^2\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{z} \cdot \vec{L}_{EB} = \frac{\mu_0 q}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{r^2 d \sin^2\theta}{r^3 |\vec{r}-\vec{d}|^3}$$

$$(\vec{r} - \vec{d})^3 = (r^2 + d^2 - 2rd\cos\theta)^{3/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\vec{z}} \cdot \vec{L}_{EB} &= \int d^3r \hat{\vec{z}} \cdot \vec{L}_{EB} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \frac{\mu_0 q}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{r^2 d \sin^2\theta}{r^3 (r^2 + d^2 - 2rd\cos\theta)^{3/2}} \\ &= 2\pi \frac{\mu_0 q d}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^\infty dr \frac{r \sin^3\theta}{(r^2 + d^2 - 2rd\cos\theta)^{3/2}}\end{aligned}$$

look up in integral tables

$$\int dx \frac{x}{(ax^2 + bx + c)^{3/2}} = \frac{2(bx + 2c)}{(b^2 - 4ac) \sqrt{ax^2 + bx + c}}$$

apply to above with $a = 1$, $b = -2d\cos\theta$, $c = d^2$, $x = r$

$$\int_0^\infty dr \frac{r}{(r^2 + d^2 - 2rd\cos\theta)^{3/2}} = \left[\frac{-4d\cos\theta r + 4d^2}{(4d^2\cos^2\theta - 4d^2) \sqrt{r^2 + d^2 - 2rd\cos\theta}} \right]_{r=0}^\infty$$

$$= \frac{-4d\cos\theta}{4d^2\cos^2\theta - 4d^2} = \frac{4d^2}{(4d^2\cos^2\theta - 4d^2) d}$$

$$= \frac{-4d\cos\theta}{4d^2\cos^2\theta - 4d^2} = \frac{4d^2}{(4d^2\cos^2\theta - 4d^2) d}$$

$$= \frac{-\cos\theta}{d(\cos^2\theta - 1)} = \frac{1}{d(\cos^2\theta - 1)} = \frac{1 + \cos\theta}{d(1 - \cos^2\theta)} = \frac{1 + \cos\theta}{d(1 + \cos\theta)(1 - \cos\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d(1 - \cos\theta)}$$

$$\hat{\vec{z}} \cdot \vec{L}_{EB} = \frac{\mu_0 q d}{8\pi d} \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{\sin^3\theta}{1 - \cos\theta}$$

use $X = -\cos\theta$
 $dX = d\theta \sin\theta$

$$\sin^2\theta = 1 - X^2$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0 q d}{8\pi} \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{1 - X^2}{1 + X} = \frac{\mu_0 q d}{8\pi} \int_{-1}^1 dx (1 - X)$$

$$\hat{z} \cdot \vec{L}_{EB} = \frac{\mu_0 g f}{8\pi} \left(z - \frac{x^2}{z} \right) \Big|' = \frac{\mu_0 g f}{8\pi} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] = \frac{\mu_0 g f}{4\pi}$$

total angular momentum in charge-monopole pair

$$\vec{L}_{EB} = \frac{\mu_0 g f}{4\pi} \hat{z} \quad \text{Note, } \vec{L}_{EB} \text{ doesn't depend on distance } d \text{ between charge and monopole!}$$

In quantum mechanics one learns that angular momentum must be quantized

$$L_z = \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\hbar \quad \text{where } n \text{ is always an integer}$$

\hbar is Planck's constant

(n even for Boson)
(n odd for Fermion)

apply this quantization to the field angular momentum of the charge-monopole pair and one gets

$$\frac{\mu_0 g f}{4\pi} = \frac{n \hbar}{2}$$

$$g f = \frac{2\pi n \hbar}{\mu_0}$$

The product $g f$ can only be quantized in units of $\frac{2\pi\hbar}{\mu_0} = \frac{h}{\mu_0}$ if electric charge g is quantized in units g_0 (i.e. $g = m g_0$, m integer) and f is quantized in units f_0 (i.e. $f = m' f_0$, m' integer) where $g_0 f_0 = \frac{h}{\mu_0}$

Then $g f = m m' \frac{h}{\mu_0} = n \frac{h}{\mu_0}$ where n is always integer!