

## Entropy + Information

In canonical ensemble we had

$$\text{prob to be in energy } E \quad P(E) = \frac{\Omega(E) e^{-E/k_B T}}{\Delta Q_N}$$

or if we label microstates by an index  $i$  then the prob to be in state  $i$  is

$$P_i = \frac{e^{-E_i/k_B T}}{Q_N} \quad \text{where } Q_N = \sum_i e^{-E_i/k_B T}$$

Consider the average value of  $\ln P_i$

$$\langle \ln P_i \rangle = \sum_i P_i \ln P_i \quad \text{by definition of average}$$

$$\text{But also } \langle \ln P_i \rangle = \left\langle \ln \left[ \frac{e^{-E_i/k_B T}}{Q_N} \right] \right\rangle$$

$$= - \frac{\langle E \rangle}{k_B T} - \ln Q_N$$

$$\Rightarrow k_B T \langle \ln P_i \rangle = - \langle E \rangle + A = - T \langle S \rangle$$

Entropy as computed in canonical ensemble

$$\text{as } A = E - TS$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\langle S \rangle = -k_B \sum_i P_i \ln P_i}$$

where  $P_i$  is the prob to be in state  $i$

Note: above was derived for canonical ensemble.

But it also holds for the microcanonical ensemble.

In microcanonical, the prob to be in state  $i$  is  $1/\Omega(E)$  for a state with  $E_i = E$ , and zero otherwise. Equally likely to be in any state with energy  $E$

$$\Rightarrow -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i = -k_B \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right)$$

↑ sum over only states in energy shell about  $E$ .

But the terms in the sum are all equal, and the number of terms is just the number of states at energy  $E$ , i.e.  $\Omega$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow -k_B \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) &= -k_B \left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) \sum_i 1 \\ &= -k_B \left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) (\Omega) = -k_B \ln\left(\frac{1}{\Omega}\right) \\ &= k_B \ln \Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i = k_B \ln \Omega = S(E) \quad \text{entropy in microcanonical ensemble}$$

$$\text{So } \boxed{S = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i} \quad \text{works for both microcanonical and canonical ensembles!}$$

~~Shannon~~

Shannon (1948) turned this relation backwards, in developing a close relation between entropy and information theory.

Consider a system with states labeled by  $i$ , and  $P_i$  is the probability for the system to be in state  $i$ .

We want to define a measure of how disordered the distribution  $P_i$  is. Call this disorder measure  $S$  (it will turn out to be the entropy). The bigger (smaller)  $S$  is, the more (less) disordered the system is, the less (more) information we have about the probable state of the system.

We want  $S$  to satisfy the following properties

1) If  $P_j = \begin{cases} 1 & j=i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$  then the state of the system is exactly known to be  $i$ . This should have  $S=0$  as there is no uncertainty, no disorder

2) For equally likely  $P_i$ , i.e. all  $p_i = 1/N$  for  $N$  states, the system is maximally disordered, i.e.  $S$  is max. possible value for all possible  $N$  state distributions.

3)  $S$  should be additive over independently random systems.

To explain what we mean by (3),  
suppose we have one system with  $N$  equally likely  
states labeled by  $n=1, \dots, N$ , and a second system with  
 $M$  equally likely states labeled by  $m=1, \dots, M$ .

The combined system ~~has~~ <sup>has</sup>  $N \times M$  equally states labeled  
by the pairs  $(n, m)$ . We want

$$S(N \times M) = S(N) + S(M)$$

The function with this property is the logarithm. We  
use the natural log, although any base would do.

⇒ For a system of  $N$  equally likely states,

$$S = k \ln N \quad \text{where } k \text{ is an arbitrary} \\ \text{proportionality constant.}$$

(Note: if we take  $k = k_B$  then above is same as the  
definition of entropy in the microcanonical ensemble!)

Suppose that all states are not equally likely.  
What is  $S$  in such a case?

Consider a system which has two possible states  
1 and 2. The prob to be in 1 is  $p_1$ . The  
prob to be in 2 is  $p_2 = 1 - p_1$ . In general  $p_1 \neq p_2$ ,  
i.e. the states need not be equally likely.

What is the disorder of this two state system,  $S(p_1, p_2)$ ?

Consider  $N$  copies of the two state system.

By additivity of  $S$  we want the disorder of this joint system of  $N$  copies to be

$$(*) \quad S = N S(p_1, p_2)$$

Now in any given sample of the  $N$  copy system,  $M$  of the systems will be in state 1, while  $N-M$  are in state 2. The prob for this will be given by the binomial distribution

$$P_M = \frac{N!}{M!(N-M)!} p_1^M p_2^{N-M} \leftarrow \text{prob} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M \text{ in state 1} \\ (N-M) \text{ in state 2} \end{array} \right\}$$

For  $N$  large, this probability is very strongly peaked about the average  $M = Np_1$ . We have

average # systems in state 1  $\langle n_1 \rangle = Np_1$

standard deviation of # in state 1  $\sqrt{\langle n_1^2 \rangle - \langle n_1 \rangle^2} = \sqrt{Np_1p_2}$

so relative width of distribution is  $\frac{\sqrt{\langle n_1^2 \rangle - \langle n_1 \rangle^2}}{\langle n_1 \rangle} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

$\Rightarrow$  as  $N$  gets large we almost always find the system of  $N$  copies with  $Np_1$  in state 1 and  $Np_2$  in state 2.

How many ways are there to choose which  $\overset{Np_1}{N}$  of the  $N$  two level sub-systems are in state 1?

There are  $\frac{N!}{(Np_1)!(Np_2)!}$  ways ( $Np_2 = N(1-p_1)$ )

each of these ways are equally likely!

⇒ the entropy of the  $N$  copy system is

$$S = k \ln \left[ \frac{N!}{(Np_1)!(Np_2)!} \right] \quad \text{log of \# equally likely states!}$$

$$= k \left[ \ln N! - \ln(Np_1)! - \ln(Np_2)! \right]$$

use Stirling formula

$$= k \left[ N \ln N - N - Np_1 \ln Np_1 + Np_1 - Np_2 \ln Np_2 + Np_2 \right]$$

use  $Np_1 + Np_2 = N$  as  $p_1 + p_2 = 1$

$$= k N \left[ \ln N - p_1 \ln N - p_1 \ln p_1 - p_2 \ln N - p_2 \ln p_2 \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow S = k N \left[ -p_1 \ln p_1 - p_2 \ln p_2 \right] \quad \text{since } p_1 + p_2 = 1$$

But by (\*) we expect  $S = N S(p_1, p_2)$

$$\Rightarrow S(p_1, p_2) = -k \left[ p_1 \ln p_1 + p_2 \ln p_2 \right]$$

Similarly, if our system had  $m$  possible states, with probabilities  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$ , and we took  $N$  copies of this  $m$  level system, the joint system would have  $Np_1$  subsystems in state 1,  $Np_2$  in state 2,  $\dots$ ,  $Np_m$  in state  $m$ . The number of equally likely ways to divide the  $N$  subsystems the way is

$$\frac{N!}{(Np_1)!(Np_2)! \dots (Np_m)!}$$

And so a similar line of argument results in

$$S(p_1, \dots, p_m) = -k [p_1 \ln p_1 + p_2 \ln p_2 + \dots + p_m \ln p_m]$$

$$S(\{p_i\}) = -k \sum_i p_i \ln p_i$$

↑  
Defines our measure of the disorder of the prob distribution  $p_i$ . We see it agrees with what we found for the entropy in both canonical and microcanonical ensembles.

But now we will use it to derive the microcanonical and the canonical ensembles!

$S$  above agrees with the desired properties (1) and (2).

$S=0$  if any  $p_i=1$  and all others are zero.

We soon see that  $S$  is max if all  $p_i$  are equal.

We can now use the above as our definition of entropy and define equilibrium as the prob distribution that maximizes  $S$ , subject to whatever constraints may exist on the distribution. Each such constraint represents some "information" we have about the system.

microcanonical ensemble - each state  $i$  has an energy  $E_i$

We have  $p_i = 0$  for  $E_i \neq E$ ,  $p_i \neq 0$  for  $E_i = E$

Considering only those states  $i$  with  $E_i = E$ , we now want to maximize  $S$  over these non-zero  $p_i$ .

We want to maximize  $S = -k \sum_i p_i \ln p_i$

subject to the constraint  $\sum_i p_i = 1$  (normalization of probabilities)

Use method of Lagrange multipliers

$\Rightarrow$  maximize in an unconstrained way

$$S + k\lambda \sum_i p_i$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lagrange multiplier - we then determine the value of  $\lambda$  by imposing the constraint. So if there are  $N$  states of energy  $E$ , the maximization gives

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} (S + k\lambda \sum_i p_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left( -k \sum_j (p_j \ln p_j - \lambda p_j) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i \left( \frac{1}{p_i} \right) + \ln p_i - \lambda = 0$$

$$1 + \ln p_i - \lambda = 0$$

$$p_i = e^{\lambda-1} \quad \text{same for all } i$$

⇒ distribution that maximizes  $S$  is equally likely states

$$\sum_i p_i = N e^{\lambda-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = 1 + \ln(N) = 1 - \ln N$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i = e^{\lambda-1} = e^{-\ln N} = \frac{1}{N} \text{ as expected}$$

⇒ in microcanonical ensemble at energy  $E$ , all states with energy  $E$  are equally likely.

### Canonical Ensemble

Now any  $E_i$  is allowed, but we have the constraint that the average energy  $\langle E \rangle$  is fixed  $\Rightarrow \sum_i p_i E_i = \langle E \rangle$  is fixed. We still have the constraint that

$\sum_i p_i = 1$ . Thus the maximization requires two Lagrange multipliers.

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left( -k \sum_j \left[ p_j \ln p_j - \lambda p_j + \beta p_j E_j \right] \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = 1 + \ln p_i - \lambda + \beta E_i$$

$$p_i = e^{\lambda-1} e^{-\beta E_i}$$

$$\text{Normalization} \Rightarrow \sum_i p_i = e^{\lambda-1} \sum_i e^{-\beta E_i} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda-1} = \frac{1}{\sum_i e^{-\beta E_i}}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i = \frac{e^{-\beta E_i}}{\sum_j e^{-\beta E_j}}$$

Determine  $\beta$  by condition that

$$\sum_i \frac{e^{-\beta E_i} E_i}{e^{-\beta E_i}} = \langle E \rangle \text{ fixed average energy}$$

If we interpret  $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$ , we recover the canonical distribution!

More generally if we had any quantity  $X$  constrained, i.e.  $X_i$  is value in state  $i$ , and average value

$$\langle X \rangle = \sum_i p_i X_i \text{ is fixed, then}$$

$$p_i = \frac{e^{-\beta X_i}}{\sum_j e^{-\beta X_j}} \text{ gives maximum } S \text{ consistent with the constraint.}$$

$$\beta \text{ determined by requiring } \frac{\sum_i X_i e^{-\beta X_i}}{\sum_j e^{-\beta X_j}} = \langle X \rangle$$

gives the desired value of  $\langle X \rangle$ .

We can use the definition

$$S = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i$$

more generally than for systems in equilibrium in the thermodynamic limit. It can be used just as well for systems of finite size, and for systems out of equilibrium.