

Electrons in a crystal

When we discussed X-ray scattering we saw that the condition of constructive interference required that an incident wavevector \vec{k} could only scatter into wavevectors $\vec{k}' = \vec{k} - \vec{R}$ where \vec{R} was in the R.L.

For electrons in a crystal we expect similar behavior since our argument depended only on the wave nature of the scattering.

So a free electron plane wave state $e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}$ will get scattered into states $e^{-i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}}$ with $\vec{k}' = \vec{k} - \vec{R}$. We therefore expect the eigenstates of an electron in the periodic conic potential of the crystal will be a mixture of these scattered states

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) &= \sum_{\vec{K}} e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{K})\cdot\vec{r}} c_{\vec{k}-\vec{K}} \\ &= e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \sum_{\vec{K}} e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{r}} c_{\vec{k}-\vec{K}} \\ &= e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) \quad \text{where } u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\vec{K}} e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{r}} c_{\vec{k}-\vec{K}}\end{aligned}$$

$u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$ is periodic on the Bravais lattice:

$$\begin{aligned}u(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) &= \sum_{\vec{K}} e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot(\vec{r} + \vec{R})} c_{\vec{k}-\vec{K}} = \sum_{\vec{K}} e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{R}} e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{r}} c_{\vec{k}-\vec{K}} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{K}} e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{r}} c_{\vec{k}-\vec{K}} = u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) \quad \text{since } e^{-i\vec{K}\cdot\vec{R}} = 1 \text{ for all } \vec{R} \text{ in R.L.}\end{aligned}$$

This then yields Bloch's Theorem which we prove more rigorously later.

The energy eigenstates of an electron in a potential with the periodicity of a Bravais lattice ~~can~~ can be written in the form

$$\psi_k(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} u_k(\vec{r})$$

where $u_k(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) = u_k(\vec{r})$ is periodic on the BL

An equivalent alternative statement of Bloch's theorem is

$$\psi_k(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}} \psi_k(\vec{r})$$

If the wavevector \vec{k} lies exactly on the Bragg plane bisected by R.L. vector \vec{R} , then the two free electron plane wave states \vec{k} and $\vec{k}' = \vec{k} - \vec{R}$ are degenerate in energy and so we expect they must mix equally in forming the new eigenstates in the presence of the periodic potential. Since we start with two states \vec{k}, \vec{k}' we should wind up with two new states. If they mix equally, their relative coefficients should just be a phase factor $e^{i\phi}$. Hence we expect the two eigenstates to have the form

$$\psi_1(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} + e^{i\phi} e^{i\vec{k}' \cdot \vec{r}}$$


$$\psi_2(\vec{r}) = e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} + e^{i\phi_2} e^{-i\vec{k}' \cdot \vec{r}}$$

The new eigenstates ψ_1 and ψ_2 should be orthogonal

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = \int d^3r \psi_1^*(\vec{r}) \psi_2(\vec{r}) \\
 &= \int d^3r [e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + e^{-i\phi_1} e^{-i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}}] [e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + e^{i\phi_2} e^{i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}}] \\
 &= \int d^3r \left[1 + e^{i(\phi_2 - \phi_1)} + \underbrace{e^{-i\phi_1} e^{i(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \cdot \vec{r}} + e^{i\phi_2} e^{i(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) \cdot \vec{r}}} \right] \\
 &= V \left[1 + e^{i(\phi_2 - \phi_1)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

these two terms integrate to zero - proof later

$$= 0 \text{ only if } \phi_2 - \phi_1 = \pi$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{write } \vec{k} &= \vec{k}_{\parallel} + \vec{k}_{\perp} \\
 \text{then } \vec{k}' &= -\vec{k}_{\parallel} + \vec{k}_{\perp}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{So } \psi_1(\vec{r}) &= e^{i\phi_1/2} e^{i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{r}} \{ e^{-i\phi_1/2} e^{i\vec{k}_\parallel \cdot \vec{r}} + e^{i\phi_1/2} e^{-i\vec{k}_\parallel \cdot \vec{r}} \} \\
 &= e^{i\phi_1/2} e^{i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{r}} 2 \cos(\vec{k}_\parallel \cdot \vec{r} - \phi_1/2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_2(\vec{r}) &= e^{i\phi_2/2} e^{i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{r}} 2 \cos(\vec{k}_\parallel \cdot \vec{r} - \phi_2/2) \\
 &= e^{i\phi_2/2} e^{i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{r}} 2 \cos(\vec{k}_\parallel \cdot \vec{r} - \frac{\phi_1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2}) \\
 &= e^{i\phi_2/2} e^{i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{r}} 2 \sin(\vec{k}_\parallel \cdot \vec{r} - \frac{\phi_1}{2})
 \end{aligned}$$

so


$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1(\vec{r})|^2 &\sim \cos^2(\vec{k}_{||} \cdot \vec{r} - \phi_{1/2}) \\ |\psi_2(\vec{r})|^2 &\sim \sin^2(\vec{k}_{||} \cdot \vec{r} - \phi_{1/2}) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{probability density} \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\}$$

reflection from Bragg plane gives standing waves
in direction \parallel to \vec{k}

Since $\vec{k}_{||} = \frac{\vec{k}}{2}$ we have $\vec{k}_{||} \cdot \vec{R} = \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}}{2} = \pi n$
where n is an integer

$$\Rightarrow |\psi_1(\vec{r})|^2 \text{ and } |\psi_2(\vec{r})|^2 \text{ have the periodicity of the R.L.}$$
$$\therefore |\psi_1(\vec{r} + \vec{R})|^2 \approx \cos^2(\vec{k}_{||} \cdot \vec{r} + \pi n - \phi_{1/2}) = \cos^2(\vec{k}_{||} \cdot \vec{r} - \phi_{1/2})$$
$$= |\psi_1(\vec{r})|^2$$

The only difference between ψ_1 and ψ_2 is their relative phase shift of $\frac{\pi}{2}$, which shifts the location of the peaks of $|\psi_1|^2$ and $|\psi_2|^2$ relative to the positions of the ions. By symmetry we expect one of these, say ψ_1 , will have its peaks positioned at the same sites as the ions, while the other, ψ_2 , will have its peaks positioned in between the sites of the ions. For example, if \vec{k} is the smallest non-zero R.L. vector, $|\vec{k}| = \frac{2\pi}{a}$ with a = separation between ions, we expect,



The spatial periodicity of ψ_1 and ψ_2 is $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k_{\parallel}} = \frac{2\pi}{(K/2)} = \frac{2\pi}{\pi/a} = 2a$. The spatial periodicity of $|\psi_1|^2$ and $|\psi_2|^2$ is therefore $\frac{1}{2}\lambda = a$. Since ψ_1 and ψ_2 are $\frac{\pi}{2}$ out of phase, then $|\psi_1|^2$ and $|\psi_2|^2$ are π out of phase, hence their relative peaks are separated by $a/2$ as in the diagram above.


Now when one turns on the attractive ionic potential, the state ψ_1 will have a lower energy than the state ψ_2 since ψ_1 has its peaks located at the ions, where the interaction is strongest, while ψ_2 has its peaks in between the ions, where the interaction is weakest.

Hence we expect that the two states ψ_1 and ψ_2 , which were degenerate in energy before turning on the ionic potential, now split in energy, with a finite energy gap between them, $E_1 < E_2$.

\Rightarrow Ionic potential cause a gap to appear in the energy dispersion relation $E(\vec{k})$ whenever \vec{k} crosses a Bragg plane. Since the Brillouin zones are formed by the intersections of Bragg planes, gaps in $E(\vec{k})$ open as \vec{k} crosses the boundary of any Brillouin zone.

of lattice constant a)

For a 1-dimensional B.L. We expect the dispersion relation to look as in the sketch below:



$$R = m\alpha \quad m \text{ integer},$$

$$K = m b, b = \frac{2\pi}{a}, n \text{ integer}$$


gap open in $E(k)$
every time k crosses
the boundary of a
Brillouin Zone

Bragg Planes are at

$$\frac{K}{2} = \left(\frac{2\pi n}{a}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi n}{a}$$

for n integer

For a 2-dimensional square B.L. of lattice constant a




Bragg Planes divide K -space
into Brillouin Zones


1st BZ



2nd BZ



3rd BZ



Whenever k crosses the
surface of a BZ, there
then is a discrete jump
in the energy $E(k)$

Each BZ is a primitive cell
of the R.L.

Each \vec{k} in k-space can be written as

$\vec{k} = \vec{q} + \vec{K}$ with \vec{K} a R.L. vector and \vec{q} a vector in the 1st BZ. \vec{q} is unique

⇒ each nth BZ may be mapped onto the 1st BZ by translating its Joneses by appropriate R.L. vectors \vec{K}

It is customary to label the eigenstates and eigenvalues by this \vec{q} and by discrete index n. \vec{q} is called the "crystal momentum" and n the "band index." The state (\vec{q}, n) corresponds to the free electron state in the nth BZ with wave vector $\vec{k} = \vec{q} + \vec{K}$ (\vec{K} is the RL vector that translates \vec{q} into the nth BZ)

The wavefunctions $\psi_{q,n}$ and energies $E_n(\vec{q})$ are called the band structure

Born-von Karman boundary conditions
and Fourier transforms for a Bravais lattice

We generalize the idea of periodic (or Born-von Karman) boundary conditions to electron states on a Bravais lattice.

B.L. vectors $\vec{R} = n_1 \vec{a}_1 + n_2 \vec{a}_2 + n_3 \vec{a}_3$
 n_1, n_2, n_3 integers.

For a BL of finite size we have $0 \leq n_i < N_i$
 $N = N_1 N_2 N_3$ is total number of points in the BL.

Total volume of this finite BL is

$$V = \underbrace{\vec{a}_1 \cdot (\vec{a}_2 \times \vec{a}_3)}_{\text{volume of primitive cell}} N$$

We want our electron wavefunctions to be periodic on such a finite BL

$$\psi(\vec{r} + N_i \vec{a}_i) = \psi(\vec{r})$$

As we saw earlier for free electrons, this imposes a constraint on the wave vectors \vec{k} that can appear in the Fourier transform of $\psi(\vec{r})$.

Write $\psi(\vec{r})$ in terms of its Fourier transform

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} c_{\vec{k}}$$

$c_{\vec{k}}$ Fourier coefficients for $\psi(\vec{r})$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\vec{r} + N_i \vec{a}_i) &= \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} + N_i \vec{a}_i)} c_{\vec{k}} \\ &= e^{i N_i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_i} \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} c_{\vec{k}} \\ &= e^{i N_i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_i} \psi(\vec{r})\end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow must have $e^{i N_i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_i} = 1$ for all \vec{k} that appear in Fourier transform of $\psi(\vec{r})$

Write \vec{k} in terms of the primitive vectors of the RL

$$\vec{k} = x_1 \vec{b}_1 + x_2 \vec{b}_2 + x_3 \vec{b}_3 \quad x_i \text{ are not in general integers}$$

$$\text{Then } e^{i N_i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_i} = e^{i N_i 2\pi x_i} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i N_i = m_i \text{ integers}$$

$$x_i = \frac{m_i}{N_i}$$

So the allowed wavevectors are

$$\boxed{\vec{k} = \frac{m_1}{N_1} \vec{b}_1 + \frac{m_2}{N_2} \vec{b}_2 + \frac{m_3}{N_3} \vec{b}_3}$$

where m_1, m_2, m_3 are integers.

This is the Born-von Karman boundary conditions